

Transcriptional regulator BOB.1: Molecular mechanisms and emerging role in chronic inflammation and autoimmunity

Nataliya Yeremenko, Richard Danger, Dominique Baeten, Alexey Tomilin, Sophie Brouard

▶ To cite this version:

Nataliya Yeremenko, Richard Danger, Dominique Baeten, Alexey Tomilin, Sophie Brouard. Transcriptional regulator BOB.1: Molecular mechanisms and emerging role in chronic inflammation and autoimmunity. Autoimmunity Reviews, 2021, 20 (6), pp.102833. 10.1016/j.autrev.2021.102833 . hal-04642244

HAL Id: hal-04642244 https://nantes-universite.hal.science/hal-04642244

Submitted on 9 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Autoimmunity Reviews



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/autrev

Transcriptional regulator BOB.1: Molecular mechanisms and emerging role in chronic inflammation and autoimmunity

Nataliya Yeremenko^{a, b, c, *}, Richard Danger^a, Dominique Baeten^{b, c}, Alexey Tomilin^d, Sophie Brouard^a

^a CHU Nantes, Université de Nantes, Inserm, Centre de Recherche en Transplantation et Immunologie, UMR 1064, ITUN, Nantes, France

^b Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Center, Department of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of

Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

^c Department of Experimental Immunology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

^d Institute of Cytology, Russian Academy of Sciences, St-Petersburg, Russian Federation

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Transcriptional regulator BOB.1 Transcriptional factor OCT1 The ternary BOB.1-OCT1-DNA complex Autoimmune diseases B and T lymphocytes

ABSTRACT

Lymphocytes constitute an essential and potent effector compartment of the immune system. Therefore, their development and functions must be strictly regulated to avoid inappropriate immune responses, such as autoimmune reactions. Several lines of evidence from genetics (*e.g.* association with multiple sclerosis and primary biliary cirrhosis), human expression studies (*e.g.* increased expression in target tissues and draining lymph nodes of patients with autoimmune diseases), animal models (*e.g.* loss of functional protein protects animals from the development of collagen-induced arthritis, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, type 1 diabetes, bleomycin-induced fibrosis) strongly support a causal link between the aberrant expression of the lymphocyte-restricted transcriptional regulator BOB.1 and the development of autoimmune diseases. In this review, we summarize the current knowledge of unusual structural and functional plasticity of BOB.1, stringent regulation of its expression, and the pivotal role that BOB.1 plays in shaping B- and T-cell responses. We discuss recent developments highlighting the significant contribution of BOB.1 to the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases and how to leverage our knowledge to target this regulator to treat autoimmune tissue inflammation.

1. Introduction

Lymphocyte-specific transcriptional regulation is a central feature of the generation, development, differentiation, maturation and function of immune effector and regulatory cells. Given that, control of gene expression in various cells of the immune system at a transcriptional level is achieved by the complex protein machinery comprising transcription factors, cofactors, chromatin regulators, and non-coding RNAs. Transcription factors bind to short recognition sites located in gene promoter or enhancer/silencer regions and recruit transcriptional cofactors, which in turn recruit and activate RNA polymerase II at corepromoters to enable transcription. Transcription cofactors generally do not bind DNA, but rather mediate protein-protein interactions between regulatory transcription factors and the basal RNA polymerase II transcription machinery. Some transcription factors and their associated cofactors are unique to certain immune cell lineages and their levels are determinative of whether their target genes are transcribed and to what extent. This implies that these regulators of gene expression must be tightly controlled. Failure to achieve appropriate transcriptional regulation dramatically affects the development and function of immune cells and lies at the heart of the pathogenesis of many inflammatory and autoimmune disorders and cancers. Thus, a detailed characterization of transcriptional regulators of immune responses should advance our understanding of the molecular basis of these pathological conditions and may offer new therapeutic strategies. BOB.1/OCA-B/OBF.1 is a prototypic example of a lymphocyte-restricted transcriptional coactivator. Extensive efforts over the past years have been made to elucidate its role in the regulation of adaptive immune responses in both, physiological and pathological states. This review summarizes current knowledge and recent findings regarding the role of BOB.1 in the pathogenesis of autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases, as evidenced by genetic, animal model, and human ex vivo studies, as well as by the first evidence that targeting BOB.1 may offer new opportunities and potential benefits for patients with autoimmune disorders.

* Corresponding author at: CHU Nantes, Université de Nantes, Inserm, Centre de Recherche en Transplantation et Immunologie, UMR 1064, ITUN, Nantes, France *E-mail address:* nataliya.yeremenko@univ-nantes.fr (N. Yeremenko).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2021.102833

Received 20 January 2021; Accepted 28 January 2021

Available online 20 April 2021 1568-9972/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

2. The biology of BOB.1

2.1. BOB.1/OCA-B/OBF-1 protein

It has been nearly 30 years since Pierani and colleagues from the Robert G. Roeder's laboratory at Rockefeller University discovered in fractionated B cell nuclear extracts a component crucial for optimal octamer-dependent transcription from an immunoglobulin heavy chain (IgH) promoter [1]. Subsequent research revealed that this component works in concert with the ubiquitous DNA-bound activator OCT1 (or lymphoid-restricted OCT2) by forming a ternary complex on the conserved octamer sequence motive (5'-ATGCAAAT-3') [2,3] thereby contributing to the transcriptional activity of promoter and enhancer elements. Of interest, the interaction of this coactivator with either OCT1 or OCT2 activates transcription from promoter-proximal positions [3-6], whereas the activity from distal enhancer positions specifically requires the presence of OCT2 [7,8]. Shortly after, in 1995, POU2AF1 (POU domain class 2-associating factor 1) gene encoding this novel factor was cloned based on biochemical and genetic screening by three research groups independently and variously termed BOB.1 (B cell Oct binding factor 1 [4], OCA-B (Octamer CoActivator from B cells) [5], or OBF-1 (Oct-Binding Factor 1) [3]. Besides, the murine homolog of human BOB.1 was isolated and characterized [6,9]. It has been shown that biochemically purified BOB.1/OCA-B/OBF-1 (hereafter referred to as BOB.1) is presented by two isoforms of 34 kDa and 35 kDa (translated from different translation initiation codons and designated as p34 and p35) that are expressed at similar levels and are equally able to stimulate octamer-dependent transcription in nuclear extracts [5]. However, further research revealed that p34 is much more potent than p35 on IgH promotors in vitro [10]. Moreover, in contrast to the p34 isoform, which is exclusively nuclear and functions as a transcriptional regulator, the p35 isoform may conceivably act as a signal transducer as it is posttranslationally modified by myristoylation through the N-terminal glycine residue and can be found in both cytoplasmic/membrane and nuclear compartments [10]. Currently, there is limited knowledge on the exact role of cytoplasmic p35 isoform, though two studies suggested its involvement in the regulation of the B-cell receptor (BCR) signalling based on its direct interaction with the tyrosine kinase Syk [11] and Galectin-1 [12].

A detailed examination of BOB.1 protein structure and regulated gene transcription, thoroughly reviewed in [13–15] revealed a striking feature. This 256-residue polypeptide with unusually high proline content (16%) is intrinsically unstructured in solution and become partially structured upon recruitment into the ternary complex [16].

2.2. BOB.1 partnership with the POU-domain

BOB.1 interacts with the sequence-specific DNA-binding POU transcription factors (named after the founding family members PIT1, OCT1/2, and UNC86), the ubiquitously expressed OCT1 (POU2F1) and lymphoid-specific OCT2 (POU2F2).

As a transcriptional co-activator, BOB.1 itself does not bind DNA but is rather recruited into transcriptional regulation *via* interaction with DNA-bound POU-domain transcription factors OCT1 and OCT2. The POU-domain is a unique bipartite structure allowing DNA recognition with remarkable flexibility (reviewed in [17,18]). This flexibility is largely inferred from the presence of two autonomous DNA-binding structures, the POU-specific (POUs) and POU-homeodomains (POUh), connected by a flexible linker (Fig. 1). Historically, BOB1 was first shown to interact with OCT1 or OCT2 bound to the octamer site (5'-ATGCAAAT-3') as a monomer *via* simultaneous contacts with POUs, POUh and adenine at position five of the octamer thus acting as a molecular clamp that holds together three molecules [19–21] (Fig. 1A).

Next level of BOB.1 functional diversity is provided by its selective interactions with POU-domain dimmers (Fig. 1B,C). Alternative arrangements of the POUs and POUh sub-domain on DNA expose different surfaces for interaction with proteins partners, eventually resulting in different functional readouts. A striking illustration of this principle has been provided about 20 years ago during the studies of dimeric arrangements of the POU-domain proteins on PORE- (Palindromic Oct-Recognition Element, 5'-ATTTGAAATGCAAAT-3') and MORE-class DNA elements (More of PORE, 5'-ATGCATATGCAT-3') [22-24]. It was found that PORE-type arrangement was highly permissive for this interaction (Fig. 1B), whereas MORE-type arrangement of OCT1 POU (POU1) dimer was refractory to BOB.1 interaction (Fig. 1C). Computer modelling and X-ray studies provided the structural rationale of this selectivity by showing that MORE-mediated dimerization and BOB.1 binding are mutually exclusive as it turned out that BOB.1 interaction interfaces both in the POU-domain and DNA major groove were engaged in MORE-type POU1 dimerization [23]. MOREs have been found to mediate transcription of the number of genes, including the IgH genes. Ironically, the BCL1 VH promoter was the first regulatory DNA element reported to mediate interaction and synergism between OCT1 (OCT2) and BOB.1 [2]. This apparent discrepancy arose from a deletion in the DNA constructs of a subpart of MORE, resulted in the ablation of MOREmediated dimerization of OCT1 (OCT2) with retention of BOB.1permissive octamer site [2,5]. Although this deletion was crucial for BOB.1 discovery, it also led to the conclusion that this protein was involved in the regulation of IgH gene transcription via the octamer



Fig. 1. Modes of BOB.1 interaction with the POUdomains. (A) BOB.1 is recruited via interaction with DNA-bound POU-domain transcription factor monomer as described in [20]. Selective BOB.1 recruitment by POU-domain factor dimers formed on the POREs (B), but the MOREs which are refractory to BOB.1 since BOB.1-interaction surface (square shapes) is used for dimerization. (D) A PORE-like configuration with altered linker connectivity, suggested by Lins et al. [26]; the POU-specific sub-domains (S1 and S2) are moved away from POU-homeodomains (H1 and H2), as it occurs on the $PORE^{+1}$ [26], to emphasize alleviated requirements of PORE-specific proteinprotein interaction interface (triangle shapes) for the ternary complex formation. See text for further details.

motif within the VH promoters, subsequently contravened by largely unaffected IgH expression in BOB.1 knockout mice [9,25].

The ability of BOB.1 to form a ternary complex with PORE-type OCT1 (OCT2) dimer is due to the fact that this dimer is present as two-fold repeated, however, unexpectedly, this complex includes only one molecule of BOB.1. Computer modelling showed altered geometric parameters of OCT1 monomer bound to the non-octamer half-site of the PORE, which is incompatible with BOB.1 interaction [24] (Fig. 1B).

Perhaps the most intriguing and least understood molecular feature of BOB.1 resides in its ability to empower OCT-factors to bind to unfavourable non-consensus DNA motifs in murine and human promotors. Lins and colleagues have shown that BOB.1 (1) stabilizes its complex with OCT1 dimer on the PORE to a much greater extent than with OCT1 monomer on the octamer site, (2) compensates for the loss of the PORE-type dimerization interface that is caused by amino acid mutation or by nucleotide insertion, (3) alters sequence requirements of DNA recognition [26]. As an extreme example of the last statement, POREderived sequences that do not bind OCT1 either as a monomer or as a dimer, in the presence of BOB.1 become an efficient platform for ternary complex assembly, which includes two OCT1 and one BOB.1 molecule. These data prompted the idea that the PORE-type OCT1 or OCT2 dimers, rather than the monomers, are the primary targets of the cofactor BOB.1. Furthermore, altered connectivity of POUs and POUh sub-domains, when these sub-domains belonging to one molecule bind parallel to the DNA strand and clamped together by BOB.1, has been proposed [26] (Fig. 1D).

These listed literature data show that BOB.1 functioning *via* POU dimers in certain configuration provides a unique level of the structural and functional plasticity of transcriptional regulation. Clearly, there are still many open questions with regard to molecular mechanisms of BOB.1 action, as well as to *bona fide* BOB.1/OCT1(OCT2) genomic targets. These questions await further answers, urged by the looming impact of BOB.1 on autoimmune diseases, which is in the focus of the current review.

2.3. Role in B cells

BOB.1 plays an essential role in B-cell ontogeny. It is expressed throughout all stages of the developing B lymphocyte axis, albeit at different levels. The highest BOB.1 expression is found in germinal centre (GC) B cells in both mouse [27] and man [28,29]. Accordingly, the key signals that regulate the formation of GCs, namely CD40-CD40L cross-talk in conjunctions with IL-4 or IL-21 cytokines, boost BOB.1 expression in B cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* [27,28,30].

Probably the most striking feature of BOB.1 emerged from in vivo studies. In 1996, the laboratories of Roeder, Matthias and Schaffner simultaneously established its critical role for the development of T celldependent (TD) immune responses. They demonstrated that targeted BOB.1 knockouts in mice led to a complete lack of GCs in spleen and lymph nodes, as well as to a massive defect in the production of isotypeswitched immunoglobulins [9,25,31], ultimately linking the function of BOB.1 to GC maturation and plasma cell differentiation. However, the interpretation of the BOB.1 knockout phenotype is complicated by the subsequent findings demonstrated that BOB.1 is required for B-cell development at multiple stages. First of all, BOB.1 plays a significant role at early stages of B cell development in bone marrow as the number of transitional B220⁺ IgM^{high} B cells and mature recirculating IgD^{high} B cells are dramatically reduced in the bone marrow of mice lacking BOB.1 [32,33]. Furthermore, an increased number of apoptotic cells was detected in both the immature and mature B cell compartments [33], which was partially explained by the strong reduction of the expression of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl2, a direct target of BOB.1 [34]. Besides, the marginal zone B cell compartment, crucial for mounting innate and adaptive responses, is affected in the absence of BOB.1 as both, the numbers and the function of marginal zone (MZ) B cells are dramatically reduced in BOB.1^{-/-} animals [34,35]. Second, recent data

demonstrated that BOB.1 together with OCT2 and MEF2B is required to drive expression of BCL6, the master regulator of the GC B cell state, by recruiting and concentrating transcription factors and cofactors, including chromatin/epigenetic factors that facilitate enhancerpromoter interactions [36]. As BOB.1 is expressed preferentially in GC B cells, the complete loss of these sites of cognate B and T cell interaction and expansion upon BOB.1 deletion is responsible for the lack of high titres of switched antibody isotypes and disturbed TD memory responses in BOB.1-deficient animals. Additionally, in vitro data revealed that the presence of functional BOB.1 is critical for the final stages of TD B cell differentiation as the loss of BOB.1 under these conditions blocks the genetic program of plasma cell differentiation [37]. Third, BOB.1 is essential for optimal BCR-derived signals as mature splenic B-cell proliferative responses to BCR stimulation are reduced in BOB.1 knock-out animals [25]. Later, Siegel et al. proposed that BOB.1 has a dual function in B cell signalling, playing, on one hand, a role in early events in intracellular signalling by non-transcriptional regulation of SYK stability by the cytoplasmic form of BOB.1 and functioning, on the other hand, as a transcription coactivator of downstream target genes at later stages of B cell activation [11]. Finally, the complexity of the role of BOB.1 in TD GC formation is further highlighted by findings that it is not only crucial for the efficient transcription of immunoglobulin heavy-chain and V(D)J recombination of a subset of immunoglobulin kappa genes [38,39], but also is important for regulation of many B-cell essential genes that belong to multiple categories, including, cell survival and proliferation, metabolism, ion channel, cell adhesion, cytokines, and chemokines [34,40-45]. All these B-cell-intrinsic defects in the absence of BOB.1 might be accountable for the absence of GC formation and impaired production of switched Ig in vivo. Last but not least, although studies of adoptive transfers of BOB.1-sufficient and deficient B and T cells to $Rag1^{-/-}$ mice demonstrated B-cell autonomous defect [27,29], one cannot formally exclude additive T-cell specific effects of BOB.1 loss on the absence of GCs and block of memory and plasma cell differentiation in the BOB.1 knockout mice. Indeed, its pivotal role in the differentiation of T follicular helper (Tfh) and in the formation of memory T cells, important players in mounting a humoral response in GCs, has been demonstrated [46].

A couple of excellent reviews covering the role of BOB.1 in B-cell development and function in great detail have been published [45,47], therefore it will be not discussed here further.

2.4. Role in T cells

BOB.1 has long been considered a B cell-specific factor, however, emerging evidence that BOB.1 plays an indispensable role in the T-cell compartment led to a re-evaluation of the established paradigm. BOB.1 is not expressed during T cell development or in naïve T cells, yet its expression can be induced in T cells upon TCR engagement or by the treatment with PMA/ionomycin [41,48,49]. It has been demonstrated that posttranslational modifications, such as phosphorylation at Ser184, are required for the inducible activation of OBF.1 [49]. Later it was noticed that BOB.1^{-/-} mice are not able to control experimental leishmaniasis, and detailed re-examination of T cell compartment revealed a reduction of both CD4-positive and CD8-positive subpopulations in lymph nodes and spleen in the absence of BOB.1 [50]. Further analysis demonstrated, that in contrast to unpolarised CD4-positive T cells, BOB.1 is expressed in Th1 and Th2 cells and that cytokine secretion of both subtypes of T helper cells was affected by the BOB.1 deficiency: the secretion of Th1 cytokines was reduced, whereas the secretion of Th2 cytokines was increased [50]. Mechanistically, BOB.1 shapes the balance of Th1- versus Th2-mediated immunity through cooperative binding with OCT1 to the IFN γ and IL2 promoters. In the absence of BOB.1, this balance is shifted towards Th2 as another target of BOB.1, the transcriptional factor PU.1, is repressed, resulting in increased GATA3 activity and consequently, increased Th2 cytokine release and susceptibility to Leishmania major infection [50]. The consequent study

confirmed this concept by demonstrating that selective blockade of microRNA (miR)-126, a negative regulator of BOB.1, leads to an augmented expression of BOB.1, which activates PU.1 and thereby alters Th2 cell function via negative regulation of GATA3 expression [51]. BOB.1 is also required for the differentiation of Th17 cells [52], presumably via blockade of IL-2 production, a known endogenous repressor of Th17 polarization [53]. Accordingly, subsequent gene and protein analyses confirmed the expression of BOB.1 in Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells in human tonsils or peripheral blood [54]. However, within the T-cell compartment, the highest BOB.1 level was found in Tfh cells in human [55] and mouse [54,56], suggesting its role in the development and/or function of this cellular subset. It was suggested that BOB.1, in cooperation with OCT1(OCT2), can directly bind Bcl6 and BTLA promoters to regulate their expression and, correspondingly, Tfh cell development [56]. Indeed, a strong reduction of Tfh cells frequency after immunization with TD antigen was observed in secondary lymphoid organs of mice lacking BOB.1 in the T cell compartment [56]. However, other studies contradicted these data, reporting either similar [57] or even increased [54] Tfh frequencies in the absence of BOB.1 in T cells. The latter study showed that (a) early processes accompanying Tfh cell differentiation, including Bcl6 expression, occur normally in BOB.1deficient animals and, (b) the overexpression of BOB.1 in primary human naïve CD4-positive T cells does not result in the expression of Tfh signature genes such as BCL-6, PD-1, IL-21, and CXCR5 [54], arguing against the notion that BOB.1 is critical for differentiation of Tfh cells. The authors proposed that the function of BOB.1 is to restrict the TCRmediated expansion of Tfh [54]. Future research is needed to identify the exact role of BOB.1 in Tfh cells, yet a recent study has further stressed the importance of this transcriptional regulator in T-cell biology by demonstrating that BOB.1 together with OCT1 is selectively required for the in vivo generation of CD4-positive memory T cells [46] (discussed later in this review). Collectively, these findings indicate a pivotal role of BOB.1 in the biology of CD4-positive cells. As to CD8-positive cells, scarce data suggest that an elevated expression of BOB.1 is a hallmark of hypo-functional tumour-infiltrating CD8-positive T cells (WO/2017/ 075451), yet the contribution of BOB.1 to exhaustion of these cells remains to be investigated.

2.5. Role in other cell types

The expression of BOB.1 is considered to be lymphocyte restricted, however, Zhou et al. detected its expression in normal human airway epithelium [58]. Using a combination of RNA-seq analysis, immunohistochemistry, and analysis of differentiating single basal cell clones the authors confirmed that BOB.1 is increased upon differentiation of airway basal stem/progenitor cells, where it controls expression of its multiple known and novel target genes, related to a host defence [59]. Accordingly, another recent meta-analysis study of publicly available gene expression profiles in synovial tissue and single-cell RNA-seq data from isolated fibroblasts-like synoviocytes identified BOB.1 as a putative disease-related master regulator in RA fibroblasts [60]. However, experimental validation is required to confirm these *in silico* data.

2.6. Regulation of BOB.1 expression

A gene targeting in mice revealed that aberrant expression of BOB.1 has serious functional consequences on the immune system. Thus, the absence of BOB.1 leads to a partial block of B-cell differentiation at multiple stages, lack of germinal centre formation, and severely reduced immune response to T cell-dependent antigens, as reviewed elsewhere [45,47]. Besides, overexpression of BOB.1 in B cells leads to impairment of early-stage differentiation of B cells in the bone marrow, and cells can only escape this block by post-transcriptional downregulation of the BOB.1 expression [33,61]. These findings suggest that aberrant expression of BOB.1 in B cells has major functional consequences and, therefore, has to be strictly controlled. As discussed above, BOB.1

protein level is strongly increased in GC B cells and can also be induced to high levels by stimulation that mimics T-cell help or responses to bacterial or viral infections (TLR4-, TLR7-, or TLR9-triggering) [28,62,63]. Later, it has been demonstrated that canonical and noncanonical NF-kB, as well as, to some extent, NFAT signalling pathways are involved in the activation of both constitutive and inducible BOB.1 expression [64,65]. Also, TNF, a pro-inflammatory cytokine elevated in many chronic and autoimmune disorders can upregulate BOB.1. Consistently, analyses of BOB.1 proximal and B-cell specific distal promoter regions [26] revealed the presence of TATA box, a binding site for the cAMP regulatory element-binding protein/activating transcription factor (CREB/ATF), NFAT-binding site, and three composite and consecutive NFAT/NF-KB sites [64,65] with different degrees of importance for constitutive and inducible BOB.1 expression. Furthermore, BOB.1 is induced in response to classical unfolded protein response (UPR) activation through spliced XBP-1 bound to conserved unfolded protein response element present in the promoter of BOB.1 [66]. Also, microRNA miR-126 was shown to regulate expression of BOB.1, however, the mechanism of this regulation has to be elucidated as BOB.1 does not contain putative binding elements for miR-126 in the 3'- or 5'-untranslated regions [51].

The expression level of BOB.1 is not only regulated at the level of transcription but also at the level of protein stability. It has been found that, in contrast to the protein level, which is increased in GC-B cells, the mRNA levels of BOB.1 are not different in GC- *versus* non-GC-B cells [67]. This phenomenon was explained by two research teams published back-to-back. They showed that BOB.1 interacts with the SIAH proteins 1 and 2 and that this interaction leads to ubiquitin-proteasome-dependent degradation of BOB.1 [67,68]. However, the subsequent study argued the specific role of SIAH and the importance of ubiquitination in the degradation of BOB.1 in B cells [69]. Instead, the authors proposed that charged residues in the BOB-1C-terminus regulate its stability by showing that changes, which make the C-terminus more acidic, including tyrosine phosphorylation-mimetic mutations, stabilize otherwise unstable murine BOB.1 protein [69].

3. BOB.1-OCT1 axis in autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases autoimmune diseases

3.1. Genetic association

OCT1(2) and BOB.1 are highly conserved in humans and the evidence accumulates that genetic variants either within genes encoding these factors or near their binding sites within the genome are associated with a variety of human autoimmune diseases (Table 1, Fig. 2). A comprehensive study by Maurano and colleagues performed to systematically localize common disease-associated variations in regulatory DNA revealed that a high proportion of SNPs repeatedly perturb recognition sequences of common transcription factors. Strikingly, polymorphisms within OCT1 binding sites confer a predisposition to celiac disease (CD), human systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), type 1 diabetes (T1D), and primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) [70] (Fig. 2). A role of OCT1 in autoimmune diseases is further supported by the genetic study that links HLA-C cell surface levels to a polymorphism in consensus OCT1 binding site located in the HLA-C promoter region [71]. This is particularly intriguing as high levels of HLA-C are associated with increased risk of developing Crohn's disease (CRD) [72]. Similarly, -857C/T SNP in the OCT1 binding site, which is located in the promoter of TNF and appears to alter constitutive TNF expression, is associated with susceptibility to inflammatory bowel disease [73]. The authors have proposed that OCT1 physically interacts with the proinflammatory nuclear factor kappa-B (NF- κ B) at an adjacent binding site inhibiting its transactivating effects and affecting the susceptibility to IBD [73].

Also, a study based on the combination of high-density genotyping and epigenomic data found that CD-associated SNPs are enriched in

Table 1

The evidence of involvement of BOB.1 in autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases.

Disease	Genetics	Ex vivo	In vivo
Rheumatoid arthritis	OCT1 binding site polymorphisms are associated with predisposition to RA (70).	Expression of BOB.1 is decreased in PB of RA patients compared with HCs (97, 98). Expression of BOB.1 is 3-fold lower in citrulline-specific B cells isolated from RA PB than in influenza antigen hemagglutinin- specific B cells sorted from HC PB (99). BOB.1 levels are increased in RA as compared to SpA synovium (29). BOB.1 expression is increased in draining lymph nodes of RA patients in comparison to those of HCs (30). Expression of BOB.1 is elevated in synovial membranes obtained from patients with RA compared with that of patients with joint trauma (108).	BOB.1-deficient mice failed to form GCs, produce anti- CII antibodies and are resistant to CIA (29). This protection was shown to be B-cell intrinsic (29).
Lupus	OCT1 binding site polymorphisms are associated with predisposition to SLE (70).		Loss of BOB.1 prevented GC formation, production of autoantibodies and reversed severe "SLE"-like phenotype in mice lacking the zinc finger transcription factor Aiolos (82) The lack of BOB.1 protected MRL- <i>lpr</i> mice from the development of hypergammaglobulinemia, immune complex-mediated glomerulonephritis, and premature mortality (83).
Type 1 diabetes	OCT1 binding site polymorphisms are associated with predisposition to T1D (70).	BOB.1 expression is strongly elevated in islet- reactive CD4+ T cells -infiltrating T1D pancreas (http://www.immgen.org/dat abrowser/index.html)	BOB.1 T cell-deficient NOD mice are fully protected from the development of spontaneous T1D (89). Targeting BOB.1 with rationally-designed membrane- penetrating peptide inhibitors normalized glucose levels, reduced T-cell infiltration and proinflammatory cytokine expression and blocked T1D in NOD mice (89).
Primary biliary cirrhosis	OCT1 binding site polymorphisms are associated with predisposition to PBC (70). <i>POU2AF1</i> is the significant susceptibility loci for PBC in the language population (78).		
Multiple sclerosis	POU2AF1 was identified by GWAS as a candidate gene for MS susceptibility in Belgian population (75). Meta-analysis study identified POU2AF1 as a susceptibility gene for MS in Europeans (76).		Deletion of BOB.1 protected mice from the development of EAE (91). A decrease in EAE score in BOB.1 knockout animals challenged with MOG_{35-55} peptide was paralleled by a reduction in infiltrating cells, lesser demyelination in spinal cords and a decline in the propertion of IL-17A-producing CD4-positive T cells
Celiac disease	CD-associated SNPs are enriched in OCT2 binding sites (74). Fine mapping of common immune-mediated diseases-associated variants previously identified by GWAS using dense resequencing data from the 1000 Genomes Project found <i>POU2AF1</i> as a new loci for CD (77).	Gluten-specific CD4 ⁺ PD-1 ⁺ ICOS ⁺ CXCR5 ⁻ cells present in PB and intestines of CD patients with celiac disease express high levels of BOB.1 (109).	proportion of in 1711 producing on a positive 1 cens.
Sjogren's syndrome		BOB.1 mRNA levels are increased in salivary glands of SS patients as compared to sicca controls (29).	
Disease Inflammatory bowel disease	Genetics OCT1 binding site polymorphism in the HLA-C promoter is associated with HLA-C cell surface levels (18), which is an increased risk for developing CRD (72) –857C/T polymorphic SNP in the OCT1 binding site in the promoter of TNF appears to alter constitutive TNF expression and associated with susceptibility to IBD (73).	<i>Ex vivo</i> BOB.1 mRNA is elevated in mesenteric adipose tissue located near the affected intestinal area in CRD patients (112)	In vivo
Chronic inflammatory diseases of lungs and kidney	A meta-analysis of GWAS data found nominally significant association of rs2282637 SNP annotated to <i>POU2AF1</i> with a COPD (79). An intergenic region of <i>POU2AF1</i> polymorphism is associated with serum urea and kidney function (80) The 3' UTR region of <i>POU2AF1</i> polymorphism is associated with increased risk of kidney stones (81).	The downregulation of <i>POU2AF1</i> can predict the development of BOS, the main manifestation of chronic lung allograft dysfunction at least 6 months before the onset (100). The decrease in BOB.1 expression levels in PB was observed in patients with cystic fibrosis, IPF and pulmonary hypertension (100,102,103). BOB.1 levels are specifically increased in B cells infiltrating the lungs of patients with IPF (96). BOB.1 is a key regulator of profibrotic transcriptional program in IPF lung (96) BOB.1 expression is increased in emphysema (111).	Mice lacking BOB.1 revealed suppressed CI expression, reduced hydroxyproline content and morphological changes in the lungs in responses to bleomycin and were protected from bleomycin-induced lung injury as compared to wild-type littermates (96).

RA, rheumatoid arthritis; PB, peripheral blood, HCs, healthy controls; SpA, spondyloarthritis; CIA, collagen-induced arthritis; SLE, *systemic lupus erythematosus*; T1D, type 1 diabetes; NOD, non-obese diabetic; MS, multiple sclerosis; CD, celiac disease; SS, Sjogren's syndrome; GCs, germinal centres; CII, collagen type II; CI, collagen type I; PBC, primary biliary cirrhosis; EAE, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; CRD, Crohn's disease; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; BOS, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.



Fig. 2. Genetics, *ex vivo* and *in vivo* evidence of involvement of BOB.1 in autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases. MS, multiple sclerosis; EAE, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; T1D, type 1 diabetes; NOD, non-obese diabetic; CD, celiac disease; PB, peripheral blood; CRD, Crohn's disease; SS, Sjogren's syndrome; BOS, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; CIA, collagen-induced arthritis.

OCT2 binding sites [74]. Moreover, other studies implicate polymorphisms in or near POU2AF1 locus itself into autoimmune and immune-mediated diseases. Thus, the whole-genome screen for association with Multiple sclerosis (MS) in a Belgian population identified potential susceptibility loci including POU2AF1 [75]. Subsequently, the meta-analysis study of all available data from the Genetic Analysis of MS in Europeans (GAMES) project followed by the individual genotyping of the most promising markers confirmed previous data and implicated POU2AF1 as an MS susceptibility gene in Europeans [76]. Several years later, fine mapping of common immune-mediated disease-associated variants by GWAS of dense resequencing data from the 1000 Genomes Project identified 13 new loci for CD including POU2AF1 [77]. Another study based on genome-wide association analysis of 512 cases and 500 controls identified POU2AF1 as significant susceptibility loci for PBS in the Japanese population [78], further supporting the notion that genetic variants in BOB.1 contribute to human autoimmune disorders. Somewhat surprising that no association with PBC was observed for POU2AF1 in a Han Chinese Population [58] despite the close relationship of these two East Asian groups. Besides, accumulating data indicate that polymorphisms at loci encoding transcriptional regulator BOB.1 may confer susceptibility to chronic inflammatory and metabolic diseases of lungs and kidney. In particular, the meta-analysis of genome-wide association study data from several cohorts found a nominally significant association of rs2282637 SNP in POU2AF1 with a chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [79]. Additionally, two novel associations, one in an

intergenic region of *POU2AF1* with serum urea and kidney function [80], and the other in the 3' UTR region of *POU2AF1* with increased risk of kidney stones [81] have been reported recently.

3.2. Insights from experimental animal models of autoimmunity and chronic inflammation

The exact role of BOB.1 in the pathogenesis of autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases is not precisely understood. Up-to-date, multiple animal models of human autoimmune and inflammatory diseases have been generated to investigate the function of BOB.1. However, most of these studies have been focused on conventional BOB.1knockout mice that exhibit multiple defects in B cell lineage since ablation of BOB.1 compromises B cell development [33]. Moreover, it is hard to discriminate between the contribution of B and T-cell intrinsic effects in these mice, because, although BOB.1 is not expressed along the developing T lymphocyte axis or in naive T cells, its expression is induced upon T cell activation [48,49,65] and plays a crucial role in the memory development [46] and the Tfh cell differentiation [56]. These factors hamper direct interpretation of the BOB.1 role in autoimmune tissue inflammation, and further studies evaluating conditional and inducible models of selective BOB.1 knockout or overexpression in B or T cells are needed to advance our knowledge. Yet, the data from experimental animal models so far provide substantial evidence that BOB.1 plays a key role in the pathogenesis of the autoimmune disease

(Table 1, Fig. 2). Studies in 2003 and 2005 showed that BOB.1 is essential for the development of an autoimmune disorder that resembles human SLE in two different animal models: (1) in mice lacking the zinc finger transcription factor Aiolos [82] and (2) in MRL-lpr mouse strain [83]. In the first model, loss of functional BOB.1 protein prevented GC formation and production of autoantibodies including anti-dsDNA antibodies and reversed severe phenotype characterized by immune complex-mediated glomerulonephritis and tissue injury, eventually leading to chronic renal failure [82]. Since the combined loss of Aiolos and BOB.1 proteins blocks the transition from small pre-BII cells to immature B cells and significantly impairs immunoglobulin light chain DNA rearrangement, resulting in a severe reduction of the immature B cell pool in the bone marrow of double-knockout mice [84], the absence of autoantibodies in Aiolos/BOB.1 double-deficient mice was explained by block or deletion of autoreactive B cells at the stage of the immature B cells in this model [82]. A subsequent examination of the spontaneous murine lupus model MRL-lpr by the same group demonstrated that lack of BOB.1 completely abolished production of multiple pathological autoantibodies, including lupus-specific anti-dsDNA and anti-Sm antibodies, and consequently protected the animals from the development of hypergammaglobulinemia, immune complex-mediated glomerulonephritis, and premature mortality [83]. However, in contrast to Aiolos/ BOB.1 double-deficient mice, loss of BOB.1 on the MRL background did not impair the differentiation of immature and mature B cells. Therefore, the failure to produce antibodies against nuclear antigens in MRLlpr mouse lacking BOB.1 was explained by the absence of GC formation and a dramatic reduction in IgG-secreting cells rather than by defects in B-cell development [83]. Similar to the lupus models, BOB.1 is a critical factor influencing resistance to collagen-induced arthritis (CIA), an experimental model for RA, as BOB.1 deficiency completely abrogated GC formation, production of pathogenic anti-collagen type II antibodies and arthritis development [29]. As it has been shown that loss of BOB.1 during T-cell dependent immune responses prevent GC formation, as well as resulted in the significant reduction of Tfh cell numbers [57], the observed resistance to CIA could reflect either a B-cell-intrinsic failure to stimulate Tfh formation or T-cell-intrinsic defects. Importantly, an adoptive transfer of B and T cells from wild-type (WT) or BOB.1 animals to RAG null mice lacking endogenous B and T cell compartments revealed that a marked protection of BOB.1-deficient mice from the development of CIA was dependent on B cells as the presence of functional BOB.1 in B cells regardless of its expression in T cells was crucial for the GC formation, production of autoantibodies and development of clinical disease [29]. Similarly, B cell-inherent inability to generate a robust T cell-dependent immune response, including defective GC formation and reduced Tfh cell development in the absence of functional BOB.1 was demonstrated during influenza infection [57]. This study demonstrated that IL-6 induction in B cells, which is necessary for IL-21-dependent differentiation of activated CD4-positive T cells into Tfh cells and GC formation during an antiviral immune response, is dependent on the presence of BOB.1 and its transcriptional partner OCT2 in B cells [57]. In contrast, BOB.1-deficient T cells were not impaired in their ability to differentiate into Tfh cells and to provide sufficient help for GC B cell development in response to viral infection in vivo [57] and during autoimmune response [29]. However, the function of BOB.1 is more complex and is not limited to the regulation of GC formation as deletion of BOB.1 can result in antibody-mediated autoimmune disease in the absence of high-affinity IgG, as revealed evaluation of sanroque mice [85]. Since in sanroque background constitutive signalling through co-stimulatory receptor ICOS caused by expression of the modified version of Roquin1 protein results in spontaneous T-cell activation, GC formation, production of high levels of autoreactive antibodies of all isotypes leading to hypergammaglobulinemia and immune complex-mediated glomerulonephritis [86], it was reasoned that loss of BOB.1 would prevent GC formation and therefore the overreactive GC responses. Indeed, the previous study demonstrated that reduction of GCs due to the loss of just one allele of BCL6, a master

regulator of GC formation, substantially reduced disease prevalence in sanroque mice [87]. However, unexpectedly and in sharp contrast to described above lupus models and to sanroque/Bcl6^{+/-} mice, the deletion of BOB.1 from sanroque phenotype did not prevent but even exacerbated autoimmune glomerulonephritis [85]. The detailed evaluation revealed that this autoimmune disease was GC-independent and mediated by autoreactive IgM [85]. Since BCR repertoire is skewed in BOB.1deficient B cells [38,88], it was proposed that the autoreactive IgM was derived from inappropriately selected and therefore autoreactive san*roque/BOB*. $1^{-/-}$ B cells, in response to either exuberant activity of *san*roque CD4-positive T cells or B cell-intrinsic defects resulting from the combination of BOB.1 and sanroque mutations. Although many questions still have to be answered to elucidate the complex role of BOB.1, cumulatively, these studies indicate the crucial impact of BOB.1 in autoimmune antibody responses and development of B-cell-driven autoimmune disorders.

In 2015 Shakya and colleagues demonstrated that in CD4-positive T cells, BOB.1 together with OCT1 regulates a set of multiple immunomodulatory target genes, including IL-2, IFNy, IL-17A, and IL-21 [46]. The authors proposed that, mechanistically, BOB.1 removes inhibitory chromatin modifications by recruiting the histone lysine demethylase Jmjd1a/Kdm3a to prevent stable repression of target genes and to maintain thereby transcriptionally poised states associated with immunological memory. Accordingly, in the absence of BOB.1, hardly any memory cells were generated and those which were formed responded poorly to the antigen re-encounter [46]. As a persistent antigen exposure is a typical feature of autoimmune responses, and because memory T cells can promote autoimmune disease, the recognition that BOB.1 is indispensable for the formation of T cell memory and response to rechallenge, steered re-evaluation of its role in T-cell-mediated autoimmunity. Since BOB.1 levels are strongly elevated in CD4-positive T cells infiltrating pancreas of T1D mice (http://www.immgen.org/dat abrowser/index.html), it was hypothesised that T cell-specific BOB.1 deletion would protect mice from T1D.

To address this, BOB.1 conditional allele was developed and backcrossed onto a diabetes-prone NOD background [89]. This elegant study demonstrated that while prediabetic BOB.1 T cell-deficient NOD mice have a normal T cell number and similar TCR specificities in their lymph nodes, they are fully protected from spontaneous T1D [89]. This protection was associated with a reduction of T cells and macrophage infiltration in the pancreas and a decrease of the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IFN γ and CCL1, previously identified as direct targets of BOB.1 [46]. Interestingly, prediabetic NOD mice lacking BOB.1 in T cells harboured more Tregs, however, they were still protected from T1D after Tregs depletion, albeit to a lesser extent, suggesting that Tregs only partly contributed to the T1D protection. Strikingly, loss of BOB.1 significantly modified the landscape of islet effector/memory T cells, in particular CD8-positive T cells, towards anti-diabetogenic clonotypes [89]. CD4-positive clones associated with diabetes were present, however, exhibited anergic phenotype. These results are concordant with previous results of gene expression profiling showing that genes associated with anergy, such as Ctla4, are overexpressed in CD4-positive cells lacking functional BOB.1 [46]. Remarkably, protection from T1D conferred by the loss of BOB.1 varied among different TCR transgenic and monoclonal antigen models and was highest in polyclonal ones [89]. Notably, BOB.1 ablation also attenuates the development of age-induced glucose intolerance, fat accumulation and insulin resistance in the diet-induced murine model of type 2 diabetes [90]. The authors suggested that reduction of B-cell maturation together with an impediment of B2 cell activity and Ig production, particularly IgG2c, induced by loss of BOB.1 underlay tolerance to glucose and sensitivity to insulin in diet-induced obesity model. This study was performed using conventional knockout mice and therefore, contribution of T cell-specific BOB.1 loss to the development of age-induced fat accumulation and insulin resistance has to be further assessed in tissue-specific and inducible models.

Another contribution to the concept that BOB.1 is a potent autoimmune regulator was made by Japanese researchers, who have demonstrated its pivotal role in Th17-mediated autoimmune response as loss of BOB.1 protected mice from the development of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), an animal model of multiple sclerosis [91]. A decrease of EAE score in BOB.1 knockout animals challenged with MOG₃₅₋₅₅ peptide was paralleled by a reduction in infiltrating cells, lesser demyelination in spinal cords and a decline in the proportion of IL-17A-producing CD4-positive T cells. The authors have proposed that the formation of a ternary DNA-OCT1-BOB.1 complex and interaction of BOB.1 with the ligand-binding domain of the retinoic acid receptorrelated orphan receptor (ROR) gamma t (RORgt) is necessary for sufficient for the disease induction IL-17A expression by T cells [91]. This is consistent with the previous study, which identified BOB.1 and OCT1 as positive regulators of Th17 differentiation by a combination of transcriptional profiling, computational algorithms and a gene knockdown screen in silico and in vitro [52]. Accordingly, another study supported the notion that OCT1 can control the threshold of IL-17 induction in activated Th17 effectors in cooperation with BOB.1 [92]. Cumulatively, these studies provide evidence that BOB.1 may be involved in Th17 cellmediated disease by modulating IL-17A induction in vivo, yet the drawback of the work of Ikegami and colleagues is that the study is based on conventional BOB.1 knockout, which precludes unbiased conclusions. Although B cells have shown to be dispensable for disease progression in a model of acute EAE, induced by MOG peptide [93,94], in contrast to EAE induced by MOG protein, where the antigenpresentation function of B cells is critical for the disease induction [95], further investigation utilizing T cell-specific BOB.1-deficient mice that retain intact B cell compartment is required to elucidate the exact impact of T-cell specific BOB.1 loss on Th17 cell-mediated autoimmune disease.

Beyond its role in the prototypic autoimmune diseases, BOB.1 contributes to bleomycin-induced lung injury, an experimental model for lung fibrosis [96]. This study demonstrated that in response to this damage, mice lacking BOB.1 exhibited suppressed type I collagen expression, reduced hydroxyproline content and morphological changes in the lungs and were protected from fibrotic development as compared to WT littermates [96].

Cumulatively, these studies demonstrate that BOB.1 is emerging as an essential factor in the pathogenesis of many autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases.

3.3. Human expression studies

The most abundant information emphasizing the importance of BOB.1 in the development of autoimmune responses came from murine models, however, whether these findings apply to human autoimmune diseases remains to be determined. Yet, corroborating evidence continues to accumulate that BOB.1 expression is altered in the peripheral blood and target tissue of patients with autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases.

3.3.1. Peripheral blood

We and others have reported that expression of BOB.1 is significantly decreased in peripheral blood of patients with RA compared with healthy controls (HCs) [97,98] (Table 1, Fig. 2). In addition, BOB.1 mRNA levels in the blood were found to inversely correlate with the onset of clinical symptoms and RA disease progression [98]. Furthermore, a recent study utilizing a comprehensive gene expression profiling of antigen-specific B cells revealed that expression of BOB.1 is 3-fold lower in citrulline-specific autoreactive B cells isolated from the peripheral blood of RA patients than in influenza antigen hemagglutinin-specific B cells sorted from the blood of healthy individuals [99]. However, the results of this study may be biased as citrulline-specific B cells were obtained from RA patients, who received anti-TNF therapy and other disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs. Another evidence of

the association of a reduced POU2AF1 mRNA expression levels in the blood with pathological immune-related mechanisms in the tissue has been reported [100]. In that study, transcription profiling of whole blood of lung transplant recipients was applied to identify early biomarkers of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS), the main manifestation of chronic lung allograft dysfunction (CLAD). Downregulation of POU2AF1 along with two other genes, TCL1A and BLK, predicts BOS at least 6 months before the onset of its manifestation [100]. A decrease in the frequency of CD24^{hi}CD38^{hi} transitional B cells harbouring regulatory properties was described in the same patients before BOS appearance [101], however, whereas BOB.1 decreased expression is associated to the diminution of such regulatory B cells remain to be investigated. A decrease in BOB.1 expression level in the blood has also been reported in patients with cystic fibrosis, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), pulmonary hypertension [100,102,103], end-stage chronic respiratory diseases that share common pathogenic mechanisms with BOS [104]. These transcriptomic studies the rationale for investigating the role of BOB.1 in pathological processes leading to respiratory dysfunction.

3.3.2. Target tissue

Recent evidence indicates that, in contrast to peripheral blood, levels of BOB.1 are aberrantly increased in the target tissue of patients with autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases (Table 1, Fig. 2). Gene expression analysis study comparing synovial biopsies from RA and spondyloarthritis (SpA) identified BOB.1 as one of the top hits in the genes overexpressed in RA synovium, where its expression levels correlated strongly with the presence of ectopic GCs [29]. Interestingly, elevated expression of BOB.1 has been also detected in lymph nodes that drain inflamed joints of RA patients [30]. Analysis at single-cell resolution has to determine whether the increased transcript levels of BOB.1 reflect a shift in the cellular composition of target tissues or it is a result of changes in gene expression underlying activation of specific molecular and cellular pathways. However, a similar degree of synovial infiltration with T, B, and plasma cells, as well as the presence of equal amounts of lymphocyte aggregates and ectopic lymphoid neogenesis in RA and SpA synovitis [105-107], and comparable numbers of GC reactions between RA and healthy lymph nodes [30] advocate for the second scenario. Significant overexpression of BOB.1 in RA synovitis was also revealed by an integrative meta-analysis of multiple gene expression profiles in synovial membrane obtained from patients with RA compared with that of patients with joint trauma [108]. Although the relationship between lymphocytes in the blood versus those in target tissues is unknown, these data may indicate that during the onset/ development of the disease the population of BOB.1-expressing lymphocytes relocate from the bloodstream to target tissue, where they may drive autoimmune tissue inflammation. This notion is supported by recent data demonstrating that autoreactive T cells express high levels of BOB.1. The comprehensive study combining HLA-DQ-gluten tetramers with mass cytometry and RNA sequencing analysis identified a population of gluten-specific CD4-positive T cells with distinct and relatively rare phenotype expressing aberrantly high levels of BOB.1 in the blood and intestines of CD patients [109]. Strikingly, cells with similar phenotype are also elevated in systemic sclerosis, SLE [109] and RA [110]. These studies suggest that this subset is not only present in multiple autoimmune disorders, but also that these cells might be the key disease-driving T cells in these pathological conditions.

Furthermore, several seminal studies provide evidence that high levels of BOB.1 are detected in B cells infiltrating the target tissue. Thus, while the data on BOB.1 expression in lung transplants with relation to BOS development is still lacking, a recent study reported that BOB.1 level is specifically increased in B cells infiltrating lungs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) [96], a chronic scarring lung disease where mechanisms leading to the fibrotic processes exhibit striking similarities to those in the engrafted lung [104]. Importantly, the comprehensive dynamic regulatory model of human IPF based on mRNA and microRNA expression profiles of affected microenvironments of IPF lungs combined with immunohistochemistry, single-cell RNA sequencing and animal model found that BOB.1 is a key regulator of a profibrotic transcriptional program [96]. Increased BOB.1 expression was also shown to be a signature of emphysema, where B cells play a key mediator role in the immune response against foreign or self-antigens [111]. Additionally, elevated BOB.1 transcription is also observed in mesenteric adipose tissue located near the affected intestinal area in patients with CRD [112] and in salivary glands of patients with primary Sjogren's syndrome [29]. The exact role of lymphocytes expressing high levels of BOB.1 remains to be investigated. Given that BOB.1 plays an indispensable role in the establishment of immunological memory in both, B and T-cell compartments, future work has to elucidate the role of BOB.1 in the autoimmune tissue inflammation and transplant graft rejection where constant exposure to (self)antigens may reinforce pathogenic memory responses.

3.4. Human in vitro models

Experimental *in vitro* models indicate that overexpression of BOB.1 in B cells in the conditions that mimic TD immune responses (CD40L-L + IL-21) resulted in the suppression of plasma cell differentiation and promoted the generation of cells characterized by increased expression of costimulatory molecules and an increased BCR signal strength mirrored by an enhanced costimulatory capacity, phenotypically and functionally resembling memory B cells [30]. Although *in vivo* validation is required, these results indicate that BOB.1 plays important role in determining cell-fate decision during TD immune responses and suggest that increased expression of BOB.1 in inflamed tissues may lead to pathologic accumulation of activated memory B cells.

3.5. Targeting BOB.1 in disease

During the last decade, important findings emerged from studies of animal models of autoimmunity, which positioned BOB.1 as a promising therapeutic target for human autoimmune diseases. Recent advances in the field of small-molecule inhibitors of protein-protein interactions and availability of the co-crystal structure of BOB.1-N-terminus/OCT1 DNA binding domain/octamer binding DNA complex allowed designing drugs that exploited vulnerable unique interface not present in other proteins and, enabled the generation of a membrane-permeable competitive peptide inhibitor of the interaction between BOB.1 and a downstream effector, Jmjd1a/Kdm3a [89]. As discussed above, BOB.1 interacts with Jmjd1a/Kdm3a, a histone lysine demethylase that removes inhibitory histone H3 lysine 9 methyl marks to establish permissive chromatin environments and poised gene expression state of previously activated targets to be robustly re-activated upon antigen-reencounter [46]. The authors postulated that this property becomes damaging due to the persistent response to self-antigen(s), such as in T1D, and tested the effect of this inhibitor, termed JumOCA (OCA-B is an alias name for BOB.1), on T1D onset and severity [89]. Indeed, strikingly, peptide administration into NOD mice significantly reduced isletinfiltrating T cell numbers and proinflammatory IFNy and IL-17A cytokine production, reversed elevated blood glucose levels and protected animals from newly-arisen T1D [89] (Table 1, Fig. 2). Although future research is needed to investigate how interference with BOB.1 would affect B cell axis, these data provide first proof-of-concept evidence that targeting BOB.1 is a promising therapeutic approach to treat emerging T1D effects and potentially other T-cell dependent autoimmune diseases, where BOB.1 plays a prominent role.

4. Conclusions and perspectives

Since the discovery of the OCT1-BOB.1 axis three decades ago, immunologists, molecular and structural biologists around the globe have extensively worked to understand its role in mice and man, yet there are still novel aspects of its biology being uncovered in healthy and diseased conditions. In this review, we summarised cumulative evidence from genetics, in vitro models, human expression studies, and animal models that all link BOB.1-OCT1(OCT2) axis to the pathogenesis of autoimmune and chronic inflammatory disorders. This strong albeit circumstantial evidence has recently been confirmed by the proof that targeting BOB.1 suppresses autoimmune conditions. These promising results certainly open new avenues, however, many fundamental and clinical questions remain to be answered. Key aspects to be considered during the preclinical validation of the interference with the ternary OCT1-BOB.1-DNA complex include (1) detailed characterization of BOB.1 target genes in B, T, and other cellular sources, (2) a better understanding whether and how interference with BOB.1 may affect functions of OCT1 (OCT2) transcription factors, (3) identification of other, potentially targetable, components of this pathway, (4) the definition of the therapeutic window for maintenance of the delicate balance between the proper response to pathogens and formation of pathogenic memory directed towards self-antigens, allowing impediment of autoimmunity while keeping normal immune homeostasis intact. Strikingly, elevated expression of BOB.1 is a hallmark of dysfunctional CD8-positive T cells incapable of efficient combating tumour cells. Given that BOB.1 is a promising target for pharmaceutical inhibition in autoimmune conditions, targeting this factor in the context of the tumour environment may rescue T cell dysfunction, promoting/enhancing anti-tumour immunity without inducing autoimmune side effects [113].

Author contributions

NY and AT drafted the manuscript, drew illustrations, and discussed the content with the other authors. RD participated in the drafting and review of the manuscript. SB and DB critically revised the content of the manuscript. All authors approved the publication of the manuscript. D. B. is an employee of Union Chimique Belge. The other authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The project received the support from the French ANR project PRELUD (ANR-18-CE17-0019), the Institut de Recherche en Santé Respiratoire des Pays de la Loire (IRSR-PL), with the support of the Fonds de Recherche en Santé Respiratoire (66 Bd St-Michel, 75006 Paris), under the patronage of the Fondation du Souffle. The work of A.T. and N.Y was supported by Russian Science Foundation grant #21-14-00369

References

- Pierani A, Heguy A, Fujii H, Roeder RG. Activation of octamer-containing promoters by either octamer-binding transcription factor 1 (OTF-1) or OTF-2 and requirement of an additional B-cell-specific component for optimal transcription of immunoglobulin promoters. Mol Cell Biol 1990;10:6204–15.
- [2] Luo Y, Fujii H, Gerster T, Roeder RG. A novel B cell-derived coactivator potentiates the activation of immunoglobulin promoters by octamer-binding transcription factors. Cell 1992;71:231–41.
- [3] Strubin M, Newell JW, Matthias P. OBF-1, a novel B cell-specific coactivator that stimulates immunoglobulin promoter activity through association with octamerbinding proteins. Cell 1995;80:497–506.
- [4] Gstaiger M, Knoepfel L, Georgiev O, Schaffner W, Hovens CM. A B-cell coactivator of octamer-binding transcription factors. Nature 1995;373:360–2.
- [5] Luo Y, Roeder RG. Cloning, functional characterization, and mechanism of action of the B-cell-specific transcriptional coactivator OCA-B. Mol Cell Biol 1995;15: 4115–24.
- [6] Pfisterer P, Zwilling S, Hess J, Wirth T. Functional characterization of the murine homolog of the B cell-specific coactivator BOB.1/OBF.1. J Biol Chem 1995;270: 29870–80.
- [7] Annweiler A, Muller-Immergluck M, Wirth T. Oct2 transactivation from a remote enhancer position requires a B-cell-restricted activity. Mol Cell Biol 1992;12: 3107–16.
- [8] Pfisterer P, Annweiler A, Ullmer C, Corcoran LM, Wirth T. Differential transactivation potential of Oct1 and Oct2 is determined by additional B cellspecific activities. EMBO J 1994;13:1654–63.
- [9] Schubart DB, Rolink A, Kosco-Vilbois MH, Botteri F, Matthias P. B-cell-specific coactivator OBF-1/OCA-B/Bob1 required for immune response and germinal centre formation. Nature 1996;383:538–42.

- [10] Yu X, Wang L, Luo Y, Roeder RG. Identification and characterization of a novel OCA-B isoform. Implications for a role in B cell signaling pathways. Immunity 2001;14:157–67.
- [11] Siegel R, Kim U, Patke A, Yu X, Ren X, Tarakhovsky A, et al. Nontranscriptional regulation of SYK by the coactivator OCA-B is required at multiple stages of B cell development. Cell 2006;125:761–74.
- [12] Yu X, Siegel R, Roeder RG. Interaction of the B cell-specific transcriptional coactivator OCA-B and galectin-1 and a possible role in regulating BCR-mediated B cell proliferation. J Biol Chem 2006;281:15505–16.
- [13] Matthias P. Lymphoid-specific transcription mediated by the conserved octamer site: who is doing what? Semin Immunol 1998;10:155–63.
- [14] Luo Y, Roeder RG. B-cell-specific coactivator OCA-B: biochemical aspects, role in B-cell development and beyond. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 1999;64: 119–31.
- [15] Phillips K, Luisi B. The virtuoso of versatility: POU proteins that flex to fit. J Mol Biol 2000;302:1023–39.
- [16] Chang JF, Phillips K, Lundback T, Gstaiger M, Ladbury JE, Luisi B. Oct-1 POU and octamer DNA co-operate to recognise the Bob-1 transcription co-activator via induced folding. J Mol Biol 1999;288:941–52.
- [17] Remenyi A, Tomilin A, Scholer HR, Wilmanns M. Differential activity by DNAinduced quarternary structures of POU transcription factors. Biochem Pharmacol 2002;64:979–84.
- [18] Malik V, Zimmer D, Jauch R. Diversity among POU transcription factors in chromatin recognition and cell fate reprogramming. Cell Mol Life Sci 2018;75: 1587–612.
- [19] Sauter P, Matthias P. Coactivator OBF-1 makes selective contacts with both the POU-specific domain and the POU homeodomain and acts as a molecular clamp on DNA. Mol Cell Biol 1998;18:7397–409.
- [20] Chasman D, Cepek K, Sharp PA, Pabo CO. Crystal structure of an OCA-B peptide bound to an Oct-1 POU domain/octamer DNA complex: specific recognition of a protein-DNA interface. Genes Dev 1999;13:2650–7.
- [21] Cepek KL, Chasman DI, Sharp PA. Sequence-specific DNA binding of the B-cellspecific coactivator OCA-B. Genes Dev 1996;10:2079–88.
- [22] Botquin V, Hess H, Fuhrmann G, Anastassiadis C, Gross MK, Vriend G, et al. New POU dimer configuration mediates antagonistic control of an osteopontin preimplantation enhancer by Oct-4 and Sox-2. Genes Dev 1998;12:2073–90.
- [23] Tomilin A, Remenyi A, Lins K, Bak H, Leidel S, Vriend G, et al. Synergism with the coactivator OBF-1 (OCA-B, BOB-1) is mediated by a specific POU dimer configuration. Cell 2000;103:853–64.
- [24] Remenyi A, Tomilin A, Pohl E, Lins K, Philippsen A, Reinbold R, et al. Differential dimer activities of the transcription factor Oct-1 by DNA-induced interface swapping. Mol Cell 2001;8:569–80.
- [25] Kim U, Qin XF, Gong S, Stevens S, Luo Y, Nussenzweig M, et al. The B-cell-specific transcription coactivator OCA-B/OBF-1/Bob-1 is essential for normal production of immunoglobulin isotypes. Nature 1996;383:542–7.
- [26] Lins K, Remenyi A, Tomilin A, Massa S, Wilmanns M, Matthias P, et al. OBF1 enhances transcriptional potential of Oct1. EMBO J 2003;22:2188–98.
- [27] Qin XF, Reichlin A, Luo Y, Roeder RG, Nussenzweig MC. OCA-B integrates B cell antigen receptor-, CD40L- and IL 4-mediated signals for the germinal center pathway of B cell development. EMBO J 1998;17:5066–75.
- [28] Greiner A, Muller KB, Hess J, Pfeffer K, Muller-Hermelink HK, Wirth T. Upregulation of BOB.1/OBF.1 expression in normal germinal center B cells and germinal center-derived lymphomas. Am J Pathol 2000;156:501–7.
- [29] Levels MJ, Van Tok MN, Cantaert T, Canete JD, Kroese FG, Germar K, et al. The transcriptional coactivator Bob1 is associated with pathologic B cell responses in autoimmune tissue inflammation. Arthritis Rheumatol 2017;69:750–62.
- [30] Levels MJ, Fehres CM, van Baarsen LGM, van Uden NOP, Germar K, O'Toole TG, et al. BOB.1 controls memory B-cell fate in the germinal center reaction. J Autoimmun 2019;101:131–44.
- [31] Nielsen PJ, Georgiev O, Lorenz B, Schaffner W. B lymphocytes are impaired in mice lacking the transcriptional co-activator Bob1/OCA-B/OBF1. Eur J Immunol 1996;26:3214–8.
- [32] Schubart DB, Rolink A, Schubart K, Matthias P. Cutting edge: lack of peripheral B cells and severe agammaglobulinemia in mice simultaneously lacking Bruton's tyrosine kinase and the B cell-specific transcriptional coactivator OBF-1. J Immunol 2000;164:18–22.
- [33] Hess J, Nielsen PJ, Fischer KD, Bujard H, Wirth T. The B lymphocyte-specific coactivator BOB.1/OBF.1 is required at multiple stages of B-cell development. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:1531–9.
- [34] Brunner C, Marinkovic D, Klein J, Samardzic T, Nitschke L, Wirth T. B cellspecific transgenic expression of Bcl2 rescues early B lymphopoiesis but not B cell responses in BOB.1/OBF.1-deficient mice. J Exp Med 2003;197:1205–11.
- [35] Samardzic T, Marinkovic D, Nielsen PJ, Nitschke L, Wirth T. BOB.1/OBF.1 deficiency affects marginal-zone B-cell compartment. Mol Cell Biol 2002;22: 8320–31.
- [36] Hellmuth JCC, Singh R, Skrabanek LA, Doane A, Elemento O, Roeder RG, et al. An OCT2 / OCA-B / MEF2B Ternary Complex Controls the Activity and Architecture of an Essential Locus Control Region for Normal and Malignant Germinal Center B-Cells, in: Editor (Ed.)^{*}(Eds.), Book An OCT2 / OCA-B / MEF2B Ternary Complex Controls the Activity and Architecture of an Essential Locus Control Region for Normal and Malignant Germinal Center B-Cells. 2019.
- [37] Corcoran LM, Hasbold J, Dietrich W, Hawkins E, Kallies A, Nutt SL, et al. Differential requirement for OBF-1 during antibody-secreting cell differentiation. J Exp Med 2005;201:1385–96.

- [38] Casellas R, Jankovic M, Meyer G, Gazumyan A, Luo Y, Roeder R, et al. OcaB is required for normal transcription and V(D)J recombination of a subset of immunoglobulin kappa genes. Cell 2002;110:575–85.
- [39] Ren X, Siegel R, Kim U, Roeder RG. Direct interactions of OCA-B and TFII-I regulate immunoglobulin heavy-chain gene transcription by facilitating enhancer-promoter communication. Mol Cell 2011;42:342–55.
- [40] Wolf I, Pevzner V, Kaiser E, Bernhardt G, Claudio E, Siebenlist U, et al. Downstream activation of a TATA-less promoter by Oct-2, Bob1, and NF-kappaB directs expression of the homing receptor BLR1 to mature B cells. J Biol Chem 1998;273:28831–6.
- [41] Moriuchi M, Moriuchi H. Octamer transcription factors up-regulate the expression of CCR5, a coreceptor for HIV-1 entry. J Biol Chem 2001;276: 8639–42.
- [42] Malone CS, Wall R. Bob1 (OCA-B/OBF-1) differential transactivation of the B cellspecific B29 (Ig beta) and mb-1 (Ig alpha) promoters. J Immunol 2002;168: 3369–75.
- [43] Kim U, Siegel R, Ren X, Gunther CS, Gaasterland T, Roeder RG. Identification of transcription coactivator OCA-B-dependent genes involved in antigen-dependent B cell differentiation by cDNA array analyses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100: 8868–73.
- [44] Brunner C, Laumen H, Nielsen PJ, Kraut N, Wirth T. Expression of the aldehyde dehydrogenase 2-like gene is controlled by BOB.1/OBF.1 in B lymphocytes. J Biol Chem 2003;278:45231–9.
- [45] Brunner CW. BOB.1/OBF.1 A critical regulator of B cell function. Curr Immunol Rev 2006:3–12.
- [46] Shakya A, Goren A, Shalek A, German CN, Snook J, Kuchroo VK, et al. Oct1 and OCA-B are selectively required for CD4 memory T cell function. J Exp Med 2015; 212:2115–31.
- [47] Teitell MA. OCA-B regulation of B-cell development and function. Trends Immunol 2003;24:546–53.
- [48] Sauter P, Matthias P. The B cell-specific coactivator OBF-1 (OCA-B, Bob-1) is inducible in T cells and its expression is dispensable for IL-2 gene induction. Immunobiology 1997;198:207–16.
- [49] Zwilling S, Dieckmann A, Pfisterer P, Angel P, Wirth T. Inducible expression and phosphorylation of coactivator BOB.1/OBF.1 in T cells. Science 1997;277:221–5.
- [50] Brunner C, Sindrilaru A, Girkontaite I, Fischer KD, Sunderkotter C, Wirth T. BOB.1/OBF.1 controls the balance of TH1 and TH2 immune responses. EMBO J 2007;26:3191–202.
- [51] Mattes J, Collison A, Plank M, Phipps S, Foster PS. Antagonism of microRNA-126 suppresses the effector function of TH2 cells and the development of allergic airways disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:18704–9.
- [52] Yosef N, Shalek AK, Gaublomme JT, Jin H, Lee Y, Awasthi A, et al. Dynamic regulatory network controlling TH17 cell differentiation. Nature 2013;496: 461–8.
- [53] Laurence A, Tato CM, Davidson TS, Kanno Y, Chen Z, Yao Z, et al. Interleukin-2 signaling via STAT5 constrains T helper 17 cell generation. Immunity 2007;26: 371–81.
- [54] Yamashita K, Kawata K, Matsumiya H, Kamekura R, Jitsukawa S, Nagaya T, et al. Bob1 limits cellular frequency of T-follicular helper cells. Eur J Immunol 2016;46: 1361–70.
- [55] Rasheed AU, Rahn HP, Sallusto F, Lipp M, Muller G. Follicular B helper T cell activity is confined to CXCR5(hi)ICOS(hi) CD4 T cells and is independent of CD57 expression. Eur J Immunol 2006;36:1892–903.
- [56] Stauss D, Brunner C, Berberich-Siebelt F, Hopken UE, Lipp M, Muller G. The transcriptional coactivator Bob1 promotes the development of follicular T helper cells via Bcl6. EMBO J 2016;35:881–98.
- [57] Karnowski A, Chevrier S, Belz GT, Mount A, Emslie D, D'Costa K, et al. B and T cells collaborate in antiviral responses via IL-6, IL-21, and transcriptional activator and coactivator, Oct2 and OBF-1. J Exp Med 2012;209:2049–64.
- [58] Dong M, Li J, Tang R, Zhu P, Qiu F, Wang C, et al. Multiple genetic variants associated with primary biliary cirrhosis in a Han Chinese population. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2015;48:316–21.
- [59] Zhou H, Brekman A, Zuo WL, Ou X, Shaykhiev R, Agosto-Perez FJ, et al. POU2AF1 functions in the human airway epithelium to regulate expression of host defense genes. J Immunol 2016;196:3159–67.
- [60] Zerrouk N, Miagoux Q, Dispot A, Elati M, Niarakis A. Identification of putative master regulators in rheumatoid arthritis synovial fibroblasts using gene expression data and network inference. Sci Rep 2020;10:16236.
- [61] Bordon A, Bosco N, Du Roure C, Bartholdy B, Kohler H, Matthias G, et al. Enforced expression of the transcriptional coactivator OBF1 impairs B cell differentiation at the earliest stage of development. PLoS One 2008;3:e4007.
- [62] Schubart K, Massa S, Schubart D, Corcoran LM, Rolink AG, Matthias P. B cell development and immunoglobulin gene transcription in the absence of Oct-2 and OBF-1. Nat Immunol 2001;2:69–74.
- [63] Stevens S, Wang L, Roeder RG. Functional analysis of the OCA-B promoter. J Immunol 2000;164:6372–9.
- [64] Kilzheimer M, Quandt J, Langhans J, Weihrich P, Wirth T, Brunner C. NF-kappaBdependent signals control BOB.1/OBF.1 and Oct2 transcriptional activity in B cells. Eur J Immunol 2015;45:3441–53.
- [65] Mueller K, Quandt J, Marienfeld RB, Weihrich P, Fiedler K, Claussnitzer M, et al. Octamer-dependent transcription in T cells is mediated by NFAT and NF-kappaB. Nucleic Acids Res 2013;41:2138–54.
- [66] Shen Y, Hendershot LM. Identification of ERdj3 and OBF-1/BOB-1/OCA-B as direct targets of XBP-1 during plasma cell differentiation. J Immunol 2007;179: 2969–78.

N. Yeremenko et al.

- [68] Boehm J, He Y, Greiner A, Staudt L, Wirth T. Regulation of BOB.1/OBF.1 stability by SIAH. EMBO J 2001;20:4153–62.
- [69] Lindner JM, Wong CS, Moller A, Nielsen PJ. A C-terminal acidic domain regulates degradation of the transcriptional coactivator Bob1. Mol Cell Biol 2013;33: 4628–40.
- [70] Maurano MT, Humbert R, Rynes E, Thurman RE, Haugen E, Wang H, et al. Systematic localization of common disease-associated variation in regulatory DNA. Science 2012;337:1190–5.
- [71] Vince N, Li H, Ramsuran V, Naranbhai V, Duh FM, Fairfax BP, et al. HLA-C level is regulated by a polymorphic Oct1 binding site in the HLA-C promoter region. Am J Hum Genet 2016;99:1353–8.
- [72] Apps R, Qi Y, Carlson JM, Chen H, Gao X, Thomas R, et al. Influence of HLA-C expression level on HIV control. Science 2013;340:87–91.
- [73] van Heel DA, Udalova IA, De Silva AP, McGovern DP, Kinouchi Y, Hull J, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease is associated with a TNF polymorphism that affects an interaction between the OCT1 and NF(–kappa)B transcription factors. Hum Mol Genet 2002;11:1281–9.
- [74] Farh KK, Marson A, Zhu J, Kleinewietfeld M, Housley WJ, Beik S, et al. Genetic and epigenetic fine mapping of causal autoimmune disease variants. Nature 2015; 518:337–43.
- [75] Goris A, Sawcer S, Vandenbroeck K, Carton H, Billiau A, Setakis E, et al. New candidate loci for multiple sclerosis susceptibility revealed by a whole genome association screen in a Belgian population. J Neuroimmunol 2003;143:65–9.
- [76] Collaborative G Games, Ban M, Booth D, Heard R, Stewart G, Goris A, et al. Linkage disequilibrium screening for multiple sclerosis implicates JAG1 and POU2AF1 as susceptibility genes in Europeans. J Neuroimmunol 2006;179: 108–16.
- [77] Trynka G, Hunt KA, Bockett NA, Romanos J, Mistry V, Szperl A, et al. Dense genotyping identifies and localizes multiple common and rare variant association signals in celiac disease. Nat Genet 2011;43:1193–201.
- [78] Nakamura M, Nishida N, Kawashima M, Aiba Y, Tanaka A, Yasunami M, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies TNFSF15 and POU2AF1 as susceptibility loci for primary biliary cirrhosis in the Japanese population. Am J Hum Genet 2012;91:721–8.
- [79] Cho MH, McDonald ML, Zhou X, Mattheisen M, Castaldi PJ, Hersh CP, et al. Risk loci for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a genome-wide association study and meta-analysis. Lancet Respir Med 2014;2:214–25.
- [80] Thio CHL, Reznichenko A, van der Most PJ, Kamali Z, Vaez A, Smit JH, et al. Genome-wide association scan of serum urea in European populations identifies two novel loci. Am J Nephrol 2019;49:193–202.
- [81] Benonisdottir S, Kristjansson RP, Oddsson A, Steinthorsdottir V, Mikaelsdottir E, Kehr B, et al. Sequence variants associating with urinary biomarkers. Hum Mol Genet 2019;28:1199–211.
- [82] Sun J, Matthias G, Mihatsch MJ, Georgopoulos K, Matthias P. Lack of the transcriptional coactivator OBF-1 prevents the development of systemic lupus erythematosus-like phenotypes in Aiolos mutant mice. J Immunol 2003;170: 1699–706.
- [83] Zuo J, Ge H, Zhu G, Matthias P, Sun J. OBF-1 is essential for the generation of antibody-secreting cells and the development of autoimmunity in MRL-lpr mice. J Autoimmun 2007;29:87–96.
- [84] Karnowski A, Cao C, Matthias G, Carotta S, Corcoran LM, Martensson IL, et al. Silencing and nuclear repositioning of the lambda5 gene locus at the pre-B cell stage requires Aiolos and OBF-1. PLoS One 2008;3:e3568.
- [85] Chevrier S, Kratina T, Emslie D, Karnowski A, Corcoran LM. Germinal centerindependent, IgM-mediated autoimmunity in sanroque mice lacking Obf1. Immunol Cell Biol 2014;92:12–9.
- [86] Vinuesa CG, Cook MC, Angelucci C, Athanasopoulos V, Rui L, Hill KM, et al. A RING-type ubiquitin ligase family member required to repress follicular helper T cells and autoimmunity. Nature 2005;435:452–8.
- [87] Linterman MA, Rigby RJ, Wong RK, Yu D, Brink R, Cannons JL, et al. Follicular helper T cells are required for systemic autoimmunity. J Exp Med 2009;206: 561–76.
- [88] Jankovic M, Nussenzweig MC. OcaB regulates transitional B cell selection. Int Immunol 2003;15:1099–104.
- [89] Kim HP, Shakya A, Shen Z, German C, Ibarra A, Jafek JL, et al. Targeting OCA-B/ Pou2af1 Blocks Type-1 Diabetes and Reduces Infiltration of Activated, Islet-Reactive T Cells, in: Editor (Ed.)⁽Eds.), Book Targeting OCA-B/Pou2af1 Blocks Type-1 Diabetes and Reduces Infiltration of Activated, Islet-Reactive T Cells. 2020.
- [90] Carter S, Miard S, Caron A, Salle-Lefort S, St-Pierre P, Anhe FF, et al. Loss of OcaB prevents age-induced fat accretion and insulin resistance by altering B-

lymphocyte transition and promoting energy expenditure. Diabetes 2018;67: 1285–96.

- [91] Ikegami I, Takaki H, Kamiya S, Kamekura R, Ichimiya S. Bob1 enhances RORgammat-mediated IL-17A expression in Th17cells through interaction with RORgammat. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2019;514:1167–71.
- [92] Kim LK, Esplugues E, Zorca CE, Parisi F, Kluger Y, Kim TH, et al. Oct-1 regulates IL-17 expression by directing interchromosomal associations in conjunction with CTCF in T cells. Mol Cell 2014;54:56–66.
- [93] Hjelmstrom P, Juedes AE, Fjell J, Ruddle NH. B-cell-deficient mice develop experimental allergic encephalomyelitis with demyelination after myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein sensitization. J Immunol 1998;161:4480–3.
- [94] Oliver AR, Lyon GM, Ruddle NH. Rat and human myelin oligodendrocyte glycoproteins induce experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by different mechanisms in C57BL/6 mice. J Immunol 2003;171:462–8.
- [95] Molnarfi N, Schulze-Topphoff U, Weber MS, Patarroyo JC, Prod'homme T, Varrin-Doyer M, et al. MHC class II-dependent B cell APC function is required for induction of CNS autoimmunity independent of myelin-specific antibodies. J Exp Med 2013;210:2921–37.
- [96] McDonough JE, Ahangari F, Li Q, Jain S, Verleden SE, Herazo-Maya J, et al. Transcriptional regulatory model of fibrosis progression in the human lung. JCI Insight 2019;4.
- [97] Baeten DY, Tak PP. Means and methods for typing a sample for rheumatoid arthritis or spondyloarthritis. In: Editor (Ed.)'(Eds.), Book Means and Methods for Typing a Sample for Rheumatoid Arthritis or Spondyloarthritis, WO2011099857A1; 2011.
- [98] Romo-Garcia MF, Nava-Ramirez HS, Zapata-Zuniga M, Macias-Segura N, Santiago-Algarra D, Castillo-Ortiz JD, et al. Evaluation of SUMO1 and POU2AF1 in whole blood from rheumatoid arthritis patients and at risk relatives. Int J Immunogenet 2019;46:59–66.
- [99] Mahendra A, Yang X, Abnouf S, Adolacion JRT, Park D, Soomro S, et al. Beyond autoantibodies: biologic roles of human autoreactive B cells in rheumatoid arthritis revealed by RNA-sequencing. Arthritis Rheumatol 2019;71:529–41.
- [100] Danger R, Royer PJ, Reboulleau D, Durand E, Loy J, Tissot A, et al. Colt and C.C. sys, blood gene expression predicts bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. Front Immunol 1841;8(2017).
- [101] Brosseau C, Danger R, Durand M, Durand E, Foureau A, Lacoste P, et al. Blood CD9(+) B cell, a biomarker of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after lung transplantation. Am J Transplant 2019;19:3162–75.
- [102] Chesne J, Danger R, Botturi K, Reynaud-Gaubert M, Mussot S, Stern M, et al. Systematic analysis of blood cell transcriptome in end-stage chronic respiratory diseases. PLoS One 2014;9:e109291.
- [103] Herazo-Maya JD, Noth I, Duncan SR, Kim S, Ma SF, Tseng GC, et al. Peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression profiles predict poor outcome in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Sci Transl Med 2013;5. 205ra136.
- [104] Fernandez IE, Heinzelmann K, Verleden S, Eickelberg O. Characteristic patterns in the fibrotic lung. Comparing idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with chronic lung allograft dysfunction. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2015;12(Suppl. 1):S34–41.
- [105] Kraan MC, Haringman JJ, Post WJ, Versendaal J, Breedveld FC, Tak PP. Immunohistological analysis of synovial tissue for differential diagnosis in early arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 1999;38:1074–80.
- [106] van de Sande MG, Thurlings RM, Boumans MJ, Wijbrandts CA, Modesti MG, Gerlag DM, et al. Presence of lymphocyte aggregates in the synovium of patients with early arthritis in relationship to diagnosis and outcome: is it a constant feature over time? Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:700–3.
- [107] Thurlings RM, Wijbrandts CA, Mebius RE, Cantaert T, Dinant HJ, van der Pouw-Kraan TC, et al. Synovial lymphoid neogenesis does not define a specific clinical rheumatoid arthritis phenotype. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58:1582–9.
- [108] Lu W, Li G. Identification of key genes and pathways in rheumatoid arthritis gene expression profile by bioinformatics. Acta Reumatol Port 2018;43:109–31.
- [109] Christophersen A, Lund EG, Snir O, Sola E, Kanduri C, Dahal-Koirala S, et al. Distinct phenotype of CD4(+) T cells driving celiac disease identified in multiple autoimmune conditions. Nat Med 2019;25:734–7.
- [110] Rao DA, Gurish MF, Marshall JL, Slowikowski K, Fonseka CY, Liu Y, et al. Pathologically expanded peripheral T helper cell subset drives B cells in rheumatoid arthritis. Nature 2017;542:110–4.
- [111] Faner R, Cruz T, Casserras T, Lopez-Giraldo A, Noell G, Coca I, et al. Network analysis of lung transcriptomics reveals a distinct B-cell signature in emphysema. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2016;193:1242–53.
- [112] da Silva FAR, Pascoal LB, Dotti I, Setsuko Ayrizono ML, Aguilar D, Rodrigues BL, et al. Whole transcriptional analysis identifies markers of B, T and plasma cell signaling pathways in the mesenteric adipose tissue associated with Crohn's disease. J Transl Med 2020;18:44.
- [113] Schnell A, Bod L, Madi A, Kuchroo VK. The yin and yang of co-inhibitory receptors: toward anti-tumor immunity without autoimmunity. Cell Res 2020;30: 285–99.