Décrire les données de microscopies en biologie: vers un consensus communautaire ou comment créer un standard de metadonnées *How to describe bioimage data? Toward a community consensus, or how to create a metadata standard?* 

Perrine Paul-Gilloteaux UAR BioCore MicroPICell FBI.data, Bretagne Loire Node of France BioImaging



# France Biolmaging DMP in a nutshell



# Why Metadata? They are everywhere in FAIR principles...

### Findable

- F1 Data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifier
- F2 Data are described with rich metadata (R1)
- F3 Metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data they describe
- F4 Data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource

### Accessible

- A1 Data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardised communications protocol
- A2 Metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available

### Interoperable

- I1 Data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation.
- I2 Data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles
- I3 Data include qualified references to other (meta)data

### Reusable

 R1 Data are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes (license, provenance, relevant community standards)



Wilkinson, M., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. *et al.* The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Sci Data* **3**, 160018 (2016). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18</u>



# Why Metadata? They are everywhere in FAIR principles...

### Findable

- F1 (Meta)data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifier
- F2 Data are described with rich metadata (R1)
- F3 Metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data they describe
- F4 (Meta)data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource

#### Accessible

- A1 (Meta)data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardised communications protocol
- A2 Metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available

#### Interoperable

- I1 (Meta)data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation.
- I2 (Meta)data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles
- I3 (Meta)data include qualified references to other (meta)data

#### Reusable

 R1 (Meta)data are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes (license, provenance, relevant community standards)



Wilkinson, M., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. *et al.* The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Sci Data* **3**, 160018 (2016). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18</u>

# Which [standard] metadata?

- Metadata are domain dependant:
  - What does the related community need?
  - Minimally ... « The more the better » does not always apply here.
  - They should be « easy » to get and has a purpose
- What is a standard? « widely accepted norm », « agreed-upon way of doing something »





#### 

### **Standards?**



Source: xkcd a webcomic of Romance; Sarcasm, math and Language <u>xkcd: Standards</u>





# Which [standard] metadata?

- Metadata are domain dependant:
  - What does the related community need?
  - Minimum Information Model... « The more the better » does not always here.
  - They should be « easy » to get and has a purpose
- What is a standard? « widely accepted norm », « agreed-upon way of doing something »
- Controlled Vocabulary VS Ontology
  - CVs is a list of terms that have been enumerated explicitly (to choose from). Each term has a definition.
  - Ontology is a Controlled Vocabulary express with a « grammar »





Research

iologist's

needs

# What are ontologies?

- an ontology is a formal explicit description of concepts in a domain of discourse
  - classes (sometimes called concepts),
  - properties of each concept describing various features

An ontology together with a set of individual instances of classes constitutes a **knowledge base**. (simplified definition from WebProtégé)





## What are ontologies?

#### Example from BIII

#### www.biii.eu

#### arXiv > q-bio > arXiv:2312.11256

Quantitative Biology > Quantitative Methods

[Submitted on 18 Dec 2023]

Bio-Image Informatics Index BIII: A unique database of image analysis tools and workflows for and by the bioimaging community

Chong Zhang, Alban Gaignard, Matus Kalas, Florian Levet, Felipe Delestro, Joakim Lindblad, Natasa Sladoje, Laure Plantard, Alain Latour, Robert Haase, Gabriel Martins, Paula Sampaio, Leandro Scholz, NEUBIAS taggers, Sébastien Tosi, Kota Miura, Julien Colombelli, Perrine Paul-Gilloteaux



#### https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.11256





## Which metadata?

### https://bioportal.bioontology.org/







# Mapping/Alignment/Matching

Can be automatized by pairing related concepts (BioPortal use LOOM for example based on names and synonyms case-insensitive)

EDAM Bioimaging Ontology Last uploaded: March 3, 2020				
Summary Classes Proper	ies Notes I	Mappings Widgets		
Jump to:		Details Visualization Notes (0) Class Mappings (13)		
<ul> <li>Data</li> <li>Format</li> <li>Operation</li> <li></li></ul>		Create mapping	ONTOLOGY	SOURCE
<ul> <li>Analysis</li> <li>Analysis</li> <li>Annotation</li> <li>Classification</li> <li>Clustering</li> <li>Data generation</li> <li>Data handling</li> <li>Image processing</li> <li>Optimisation or refinement</li> <li>Pattern recognition</li> <li>Regression</li> <li>Validation</li> <li>Visualisation</li> </ul>		http://sbmi.uth.tmc.edu/ontology/ochv#C0872187	OCHV	LOOM
		http://www.bioassayontology.org/bao#BAO_0010074	BAO	LOOM
		http://ncicb.nci.nih.gov/xml/owl/EVS/Thesaurus.owl#High_Throughput_Screer	ing CSEO	LOOM
		http://ncicb.nci.nih.gov/xml/owl/EVS/Thesaurus.owl#High_Throughput_Screer	ing SYN	LOOM
		http://sbmi.uth.tmc.edu/ontology/ochv#51553	OCHV	LOOM
Topic Artificial intelligence		http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/STATO_0000239	PSDO	LOOM
<ul> <li>Bioimage informatics</li> <li>Computer vision</li> <li>Data sharing</li> </ul>		http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/STATO_0000239	CHEAR	LOOM
High-throughput screening Histology		http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/STATO_0000239	MS	LOOM
Sample staining Tissue image analysis		http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/STATO_0000239	HHEAR	LOOM

11

CC



FRANCE.	2
I KANCE	5

### ISA: A generic framework for life science **experiments** (Yes, there is more than imaging in life [sciences])







CC

SA

NC

### Recommended Metadata for Biological Images

### BioImage Archive

- **Study** is the highest level metadata, describing your project, including funding and publications. **Dublin Core, Datacite, Schema.org**
- **Study Component** acts as a container that helps you organise your data, based on experiment types or samples etc. A Study Component contains one or more of the following components, or required if relevant).
- **Biosample** is about what it is you have imaged, for example, the species of the organism that you're imaging, if you're using a particular cell line, genetic background etc. **EFO**, **NCBI taxonomy**
- Specimen metadata describes how your sample was prepared for imaging. OME, EFO, EDAM-BIOIMAGING, FBbi
- Image Acquisition is about how your images were captured. 4DN, QUAREP, Fbbi, EDAM-BIOIMAGING
- **Image Data** contains image level metadata. This is implemented as the <u>File List</u> for BioImage Archive submissions, instead of a seperate component in the submission form. **OME, EDAM-BIOIMAGINg**
- Image Correlation is optional and contains metadata about correlating images from different modalities. **EDAM-BIOIMAGING**
- Analysed Data, includes Image Analysis metadata; information about how you analysed your images, if applicable. EDAM BioIMAGING, OME





Comment | Published: 21 May 202

#### **REMBI: Recommended Metadata for Biological Images** -enabling reuse of microscopy data in biology

Ugis Sarkans <sup>CD</sup>, Wah Chiu, Lucy Collinson, Michele C. Darrow, Ian Ellenberg, David Grunwald, Jean-Karim Hériché, Andrii Judin, Gabriel G. Martins, Terry Meehan, Kedar Narayan, Ardan Patwardhan, Matthew Robert Geoffrey Russell, Helen R. Saibil, Caterina Strambio-De-Castillia, Jason R. Swedlow, Christian Tischer, Virginie Uhlmann, Paul Verkade. Mary Barlow, Omer Bayraktar, Ewan Birney, Cesare Catavitello, Christopher Cawthorne, Stephan Wagner-Conrad, Elizabeth Duke, Perrine Paul-Gilloteaux, Emmanuel Gustin, Maria Harkiolaki, Pasi Kankaanpää, Thomas Lemberger, Jo McEntyre, Josh Moore, Andrew W. Nicholls, Shuichi Onami, Helen Parkinson, Maddy Parsons, Marina Romanchikova, Nicholas Sofroniew, Jim Swoger, Nadine Utz, Lenard M. Voortman, Frances Wong, Peijun Zhang, Gerard J. Kleywegt <sup>CD</sup> & Alvis Brazma <sup>CD</sup> — Show fewer authors

Nature Methods 18, 1418–1422 (2021) | Cite this article 12k Accesses | 55 Citations | 43 Altmetric | Metrics

3

OME : standard metadata encoded in image file format (our closest to « DICOM » for Connoisseurs...) BioFormat/Ome-Tif/Omezarr/Ngff

Used in most bio image software





Instrument branch of the OME Schema



<u>Current Data Model overview</u> — <u>OME Data Model and File Formats</u> <u>documentation (ome-</u> model.readthedocs.io)



What? EDAM-bioimaging is an extension of the EDAM ontology, dedicated to bioimage analysis, bioimage informatics, and bioimaging.

Why? EDAM-bioimaging enables interoperable descriptions of software, publications, data, and workflows, fostering reliable and transparent science.

How? EDAM-bioimaging is developed in a community spirit, in a welcoming collaboration between numerous bioimaging experts and ontology developers.

How can I contribute? We need your expertise! You can help by reviewing parts of EDAM-bioimaging, posting comments with suggestions, requirements, or needs for clarification, or participating in a Taggathon or another hackathon. Please see https://github.com/edamontology/ed am-bioimaging#contributing.







### MIFA: Metadata, Incentives, Formats, and Accessibility guidelines

Reduce number of FORMATS	Standardise METADATA		
NGFF/OME-Zarr	Authors Annotation overview		
GeoJSON	Annotation type Annotation method Confidence level Annotation criteria		
	Annotation coverage Source image association Transformations		
coco	Spatial information Creation time		
Reach out to journals 🛛 🔶 🔶 🔶	Clear contributor credit		
Data browsing ink to community tools	Organise annotation events		
API	Acknowledge exceptional contributors		
Illow versioning	Preserve credit in different dataset versions		
mprove data ACCESIBILITY	Data production INCENTIVES		
	Al41 ife		

LIFE SCIENCE

FRANCE-BIOIMAGING

STUDY METADATA (e.g., license, AI models trained with the dataset)



#### IMAGE METADATA (e.g., organism, imaging method)

#### ANNOTATION AND IMAGE ASSOCIATION



ANNOTATION METADATA (e.g., annotators, transformations)

VERSION METADATA (e.g., version number, link to previous version)

#### Quantitative Biology > Other Quantitative Biology

[Submitted on 17 Nov 2023 (rl), last revised 22 Nov 2023 (this version, v2)] MIFA: Metadata, Incentives, Formats, and Accessibility guidelines to improve the reuse of AI datasets for bioimage analysis

Teresa Zulueta-Coarasa, Florian Jug, Aastha Mathur, Josh Moore, Arrate Muñoz-Barrutia, Liviu Anita, Kola Babalola, Pete Bankhead, Perrine Gilloteaux, Nodar Gogoberidze, Martin Jones, Gerard J. Kleywegt, Paul Korir, Anna Kreshuk, Aybüke Küpcü Voldaş, Luca Marconato, Kedar Narayan, Nils Norlin, Bugra Oezdemir, Jessica Riesterer, Norman Rzepka, Ugis Sarkans, Beatriz Serrano, Christian Tischer, Virginie Uhimann, Vladimir Ulman, Matthew Hartley

Artificial Intelligence methods are powerful tools for biological image analysis and processing. High-quality annotated images are key to training and developing new methods, but access to such data is often hindered by the lack of standards for sharing datasets. We brought together community experts in a workshop to develop judielines to improve the reuse of bioimages and annotations for AI applications. These include standards on data formats, metadata, data presentation and sharing, and incentives to generate new datasets. We are positive that the MIFA (Metadata, Incentives, Formats, and Accessibility) recommendations will accelerate the development of AI tools for bioimage analysis by facilitating access to high quality training data.

16

Comments: 16 pages, 3 fagues. Subjects: Other Quantitative Biology (q-bio.01), Image and Video Processing (eess IV) Data: arXiv:2311.10443 [q-bio.01] (or arXiv:2311.10443 [q-bio.01] for this version) https://doi.org/10.4555/uk/2311.10443 ●



### Take Home Messages

- The list of metadata has to be identified from the beginning of a project (Data Management Plans)
- Plan and spend some times curating your data according to where you will deposit them (DMP)
- Try to fit a common effort with no reinvention of the wheel: rather contribute to make existing metadata framework to evolve
- Alignment of ontologies (Mapping) is a key for interoperability and make your initial choice less dramatic





FBI data team Emmanuel Faure Guillaume Gay Marc Mongy Jean-François Guillaume Guillaume Maucort Raphaël Braud-Mussi Thierry Pecot Dorian Kauffmann Théo Barnouin

\*

BiolmageArchive Team Matthew Hartley

FRANCE-BIOIMAGING

2

