

Sucrose phosphorylase from Alteromonas mediterranea: structural insight into the regioselective α -glucosylation of (+)-catechin

Marine Goux, Marie Demonceaux, Johann Hendrickx, Claude Solleux, Emilie Lormeau, Folmer Fredslund, David Tezé, Bernard Offmann, Corinne André-Miral

▶ To cite this version:

Marine Goux, Marie Demonceaux, Johann Hendrickx, Claude Solleux, Emilie Lormeau, et al.. Sucrose phosphorylase from Alteromonas mediterranea: structural insight into the regioselective α -glucosylation of (+)-catechin. 2023. hal-04095395v1

HAL Id: hal-04095395 https://nantes-universite.hal.science/hal-04095395v1

Preprint submitted on 11 May 2023 (v1), last revised 9 Jan 2024 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1

Sucrose phosphorylase from Alteromonas mediterranea: structural

2

insight into the regioselective α -glucosylation of (+)-catechin

3 Marine Goux^{a,c}, Marie Demonceaux^{a,d}, Johann Hendrickx^{a,e}, Claude Solleux^a, Emilie Lormeau^a,

4 Folmer Fredslund^{b,f}, David Tezé^{b,g}, Bernard Offmann^{a,h,j} and Corinne André-Miral^{a,i,j}

5

6 ^a Nantes Université, CNRS, US2B, UMR 6286, F-44000, Nantes, France

7 ^bDTU Biosustain, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

8 °ORCID number: 0000-0002-8350-4102, e-mail : marine.goux-huet@univ-nantes.fr

9 d'ORCID number: 0000-0002-6090-4007, e-mail : marie.demonceaux@univ-nantes.fr

^eORCID number: 0000-0002-3988-2747, e-mail : johann.hendrickx@univ-nantes.fr

11 ^fORCID number: 0000-0003-0881-1927, e-mail : folf@biosustain.dtu.dk

12 ^gORCID number: 0000-0002-6865-6108, e-mail : datez@biosustain.dtu.dk

13 hORCID number: 0000-0002-6845-5883, e-mail : bernard.offmann@univ-nantes.fr

14 ⁱORCID number: 0000-0002-3378-2331, e-mail : corinne.miral@univ-nantes.fr

15 ^jCorresponding authors: Corinne André-Miral and Bernard Offmann

16 Abstract

Flavonoids glycosylation at different positions is paramount to solubility and modulation of bioactivities. Sucrose phosphorylases, through transglycosylation reactions, are interesting enzymes that can transfer glucose from sucrose, the donor substrate, onto polyphenols to form glycoconjugates. Here, we report for the first time the structural and enzymatic properties of sucrose phosphorylase from the marine bacteria *Alteromonas mediterranea* (AmSP). We characterized and investigated the transglucosylation capacity of two new variants of the enzyme on (+)-catechin and their propensity to catalyse its regioselective glucosylation. *AmSP*-Q353F and

AmSP-P140D were shown to catalyse the regiospecific glucosylation of (+)-catechin using sucrose 24 as donor substrate. While AmSP-WT was devoid of synthetic activity, each of its two single mutant 25 provided high yields of specific regioisomers: 89% of (+)-catechin-4'-O- α -D-glucopyranoside (CAT-26 27 4') for AmSP-P140D and 92% of (+)-catechin-3'-O- α -D-glucopyranoside (CAT-3') for AmSP-Q353F. 28 The novel compound CAT-4' was fully characterized by NMR and mass spectrometry. We used molecular docking simulations on structural models of the glucosyl-enzyme intermediate to 29 explain this regional explain this regional explanation (+)-catechin in a 30 31 mode that favours glucosylation on its hydroxyl group in position 4' (OH-4') while the binding mode of the flavonoid in AmSP-Q353F favoured glucosylation on its hydroxyl group in position 3' 32 (OH-3'). 33

34 Keywords: marine microbial enzymes, regioselectivity, biocatalysis, (+)-catechin, sucrose-35 phosphorylase, *Alteromonas mediterranea*

36 1. Introduction

Oceans cover more than 70% of Earth's surface and provides a unique environment to marine 37 bacteria (i.e. high salinity, high pressure, low temperature and special lighting conditions). For 38 39 decades, enzymes have been isolated and purified from terrestrial microorganisms, animals and plants. With the advent of biotechnology, there has been a growing interest and demand for 40 41 enzymes with novel properties and robust biocatalysts. Due to its complexity, the marine environment represents a great opportunity for exploration of new enzymes and molecules [1, 2]. 42 Marine enzymes are capable of being active under extreme conditions, which provide 43 competitiveness and efficiency to different industrial processes [3, 4]. Among those, sucrose-44 45 phosphorylases (SPs) from the Glycoside Hydrolase family 13 subfamily 18 (GH13_18, EC 2.4.1.7) attract biotechnological interest as biocatalysts. Requiring only a cheap and abundant donor, SPs 46 can perform transglucosylation reaction by transferring glucose from sucrose to an acceptor to 47

yield α -glucosylated products with a retaining mechanism *via* a β -glucosyl-enzyme intermediate. 48 Particularly, Bifidobacterium adolescentis SP (BaSP-WT) and its mutants have been studied for 49 biocatalytic synthesis of rare disaccharides [5, 6] and α -glucosylation of polyphenols [7, 8, 9, 10]. 50 51 To date, the only documented structures of sucrose phosphorylase in the literature are from Bifidobacterium adolescentis [11]. Other SPs, from Leuconostoc mesenteroides, Streptococcus 52 mutans, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Thermoanaerobacterium thermosaccharolyticum, have also 53 been studied for the glucosylation of phenolic compounds [12, 13, 14]. Glucosylation of this type 54 55 of molecules increases their solubility in water and their bioavailability in health, nutraceuticals and cosmetics applications [15, 16]. Controlling the regioselectivity of this glucosylation is also at 56 57 stake for the synthesis of new compounds. We recently documented the activity of two variants of BaSP-WT with respect to their ability to transfer regioselectively a glucose moiety onto (+)-58 catechin as an acceptor substrate [10]. BaSP-Q345F and BaSP-P134D/Q345F glucosylated (+)-59 catechin on hydroxyl groups in position 3' (OH-3') and 5 (OH-5) with obtainment of three 60 61 glucosylated regioisomers: (+)-catechin-3'-O- α -D-glucopyranoside (CAT-3'), (+)-catechin-5-O- α -D-62 glucopyranoside (CAT-5) and (+)-catechin-3',5-O- α -D-diglucopyranoside (CAT-3',5), with a ratio of 51:25:24 for BaSP-Q345F and 82:9:9 for BaSP-P134D/Q345F. 63

Alteromonas mediterranea, also known as Alteromonas macleodii "Deep Ecotype" or AltDE, is an 64 aerobic Gram-negative and mesophilic marine bacterium from the genus of Proteobacteria which 65 was first isolated at a depth of 1000 m in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea in 2005 [17, 18, 19]. Wild 66 type form of AmSP (AmSP-WT) shares 52% of global sequence identity with BaSP-WT. Sequence 67 68 alignments revealed that both enzymes possess highly conserved regions corresponding to the loop A (BaSP-WT: ³³⁶AAASNLDLYQ³⁴⁵, AmSP-WT: ³⁴⁴AAASNLDLYQ³⁵³) and loop B (BaSP-WT: 69 ¹³²YRPRP¹³⁶, AmSP-WT: ¹³⁸FRPRP¹⁴²) of the catalytic site [20]. In a preliminary screening using 70 homology modelling and molecular docking, we identified that the catalytic cavity of the glucosyl-71 72 intermediate of AmSP-WT could potentially host a polyphenolic acceptor compound like (+)-

73 catechin. We thus characterized AmSP-WT from a structural and functional perspective. Towards this end, the crystallographic structure of AmSP-WT was for the first time recently determined 74 [Goux et al., in preparation]. In the present work, we further analysed the structural features of 75 AmSP-WT and investigated the enzymatic properties of two variants of the enzyme towards their 76 propensity to catalyse the regioselective transglucosylation of (+)-catechin. P140D and Q353F 77 78 mutations, homologous to mutations P134D and Q345F of BaSP-WT, displayed a single transfer 79 reaction product for each enzyme: (+)-catechin-4'-O- α -D-glucopyranoside (CAT-4') for AmSP-80 P140D and CAT-3' for AmSP-Q353F. To explain the striking enzymatic activities of those variants, 81 we provide in-depth structural insights by docking simulations and modelling. Our results interestingly broaden the available chemo-enzymatic synthetic tools for the efficient regioselective 82 83 α -glucosylation of polyphenols.

84 2. Materials and methods

85 2.1. Vector construction and proteins

AmSP-WT and its variants were expressed as C-terminally hexahistidine-tagged proteins, allowing 86 87 affinity purification by standard protocols. AmSP-WT gene (UniProt: S5AE64_9ALTE) was ordered from Genscript already cloned in a pET28b vector. E. coli BL21(DE3) competent cells (Novagen) 88 were transformed with pET28b_AmSP-WT. Clones were selected using LB-agar medium 89 supplemented with 25 µg/mL kanamycin and confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Eurofins 90 Genomics). Variants AmSP-P140D, AmSP-Q353F and AmSP-P140D/Q353F were obtained by site-91 directed mutagenesis. Proteins were produced, purified and characterized as previously described 92 93 [10].

94 2.2. Transglucosylation studies

95 Reactions were carried out in 50 mM 3-morpholinopropane-1-sulfonic acid (MOPS)-NaOH solution 96 at pH 8.0 in a total volume of 1 mL. Reaction mixture containing 10 mM (+)-catechin in DMSO (1 eq., 100 μ L), 10% DMSO (100 μ L, (v/v)), 80 mM sucrose in H₂O (8 eq., 100 μ L) was incubated with a 97 final concentration of 10 µM of purified enzyme at 25°C under slight agitation. Enzymatic synthesis 98 was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) for 48h. TLC plates were developed in solution 99 composed of ethyl acetate/methanol/cyclohexane/water (6.75:1.35:1:0.9, v/v/v/v) with 0.1% 100 101 formic acid (v/v). Products were visualized using a UV lamp at 254 nm and revealed with vanillinsulphuric acid reagent. 102

103 2.3. Purification and analysis of glucosylated (+)-catechin

104 After centrifugation (12 000 x g, 20 min), 10 μ L of the supernatant was analysed by analytical HPLC at 280 nm on a C-18 column (Interchim, 5 µm, 250 x 4.6 mm, US5C18HQ-250/046) with an 105 106 isocratic flow of 80% $H_2O(v/v)$, 0.1% formic acid (v/v) and 20% MeOH (v/v), 0.1% formic acid (v/v) for 20 min. Then, remaining supernatant was purified by HPLC at 280 nm on a C-18 column 107 (Interchim, 5 μ m, 250 x 21.2 mm, US5C18HQ-250/212) with a gradient system (solvent A: H₂O 108 109 HCOOH 0.1%; solvent B: MeOH, HCOOH 0.1%; t0 min = 70/30, t10 min = 70/30, t70 min = 10/90). Products were identified by NMR ¹H and ¹³C in MeOD or DMSO-d₆ (400 Hz, 256 scans). For 110 111 characterization of CAT-3', CAT-5 and CAT-3', 5, see [10].

112 2.4. Molecular modelling of variants of AmSP-WT

Glucosyl-enzyme intermediate 3D-models were built for *AmSP-Q353F* and *AmSP-P140D* using the following procedure and the Rosetta software [22]. Glucosylated-aspartyl 192 residue from chain A of crystal structure of *BaSP-WT* (PDB: 2GDV-A) was inserted into the crystal structure *AmSP-WT*

116 (PDB: 7ZNP) that served as initial template for both variants. As this glucosylated aspartyl is a nonstandard residue, it was absent from the database of the Rosetta software. Using Pymol3, the 117 initial coordinates of this modified residue were retrieved. While this residue (D192) and the 118 119 glucose moiety (BGC) are covalently linked in the crystal structure, the Pymol software considered 120 them as two distinct residues. Thus, they were merged them into a single non-standard residue, which was called with a new ID, DGC. Associated charges and rotamers were calculated for this 121 new residue using the Rosetta software. All those data were merged a single file that we added 122 123 into the Rosetta database (Section 2.7).

With the DGC residue ready to be used, glucosyl-intermediates were built for the two variants of AmSP-WT. From the crystal structure (PDB: 7ZNP), using Rosetta the native aspartyl residue in position 203 was mutated by the glucosylated-aspartyl DGC residue together with either the P140D or Q353F mutation. For each variant (AmSP-Q353F or AmSP-P140D), a sample of 50 conformers was generated thanks to the program Backrub from Rosetta suite, with 10 000 tries. In parallel, 12 conformers of (+)-catechin were also generated using the Mercury software (CCDC) [23] from the crystal structure OZIDOR of (+)-catechin.

131 2.5. Docking analysis of binding mode of (+)-catechin in the catalytic pocket

All docking experiments were performed with AutoDock Vina using the glucosyl-intermediates and 132 133 (+)-catechin conformers built above. Docking perimeter was limited to the residues of the active site of the enzyme. Each of the 12 conformers of (+)-catechin were docked on every conformer of 134 the two variants. This amounts to a total of 600 (50x12) docking experiments for each variant of 135 the enzyme. Only the productive poses that could lead to a glucosylation of (+)-catechin were 136 137 selected. To do so, docking poses were filtered using the following distance constraints: distances within 3.0 Å between any oxygen of (+)-catechin and the anomeric carbon atom C1 of the glucosyl 138 moiety were assessed. Docking scores were compiled for these productive poses and compared 139

between the two variants. R statistics software package was used to perform the boxplotsanalysis.

142 **3. Results**

143 3.1. Highly conserved structural features and potential activity of AmSP-WT

Through structural comparison of BaSP-WT (PDB: 1R7A, 2GDV), BaSP-Q345F (PDB: 5C8B), and our 144 recently determined structure of AmSP-WT (PDB: 7ZNP), we identified the conserved residues 145 likely involved in the reaction mechanism and potential substrate interactions (Table S1). The -1 146 147 subsite of SPs, also called donor site, has an optimal topology for binding glucose and is conserved between BaSP-WT and AmSP-WT (Figure 1). The configuration of the two catalytic residues 148 149 involved in the reaction mechanism are also almost identical (Figure 1A, in blue): a glutamyl residue acts as a general acid/base catalyst (E243 for AmSP-WT and E232 for BaSP-WT) and an 150 aspartyl residue performs the nucleophilic attack (D203 for AmSP-WT and D192 for BaSP-WT). The 151 third member of the catalytic triad (D301 for AmSP-WT and D290 for BaSP-WT) stabilises the 152 transition state with a strong hydrogen bond and presents also an identical configuration in the 153 catalytic site. The structural elements that were shown to stabilize the glucosyl moiety in BaSP-WT 154 by non-polar contacts between a hydrophobic platform (F53/F156 for BaSP-WT) and the 155 hydrophobic C3-C4-C5 part of glucose are also conserved in AmSP-WT (F56/F167). The acceptor or 156 +1 site of SPs is mainly shaped by two highly dynamic loops (Figure S1), which were shown to 157 adopt different conformations based on the progress of the reaction mechanism: one 158 conformation is the "donor binding mode" or closed conformation and the other is the "acceptor 159 160 binding mode" or open conformation where an arginyl residue (R135 in BaSP-WT) is thought to enable the enzyme to outcompete water as an acceptor through strong electrostatic interactions. 161 When we compare the apoenzyme of BaSP-WT (PDB: 1R7A) with our newly obtained apoenzyme 162

of AmSP-WT (PDB: 7ZNP), a striking difference in the positioning of loop A is noticed. The enzyme 163 has already an opened conformation and is in the "acceptor binding mode" with Y352 residue 164 pointing inside the active site (Figure 1, in magenta) and R141 pointing outside (Figure 1, in 165 orange). This open conformation was also observed for BaSP-WT crystallized with the end-product 166 of the reaction after hydrolysis of glucose (PDB: 2GDV, chain B). Moreover, crucial conserved 167 residues involved in binding of both phosphate and fructose, Y196 and H234 for BaSP-WT vs. Y207 168 and H245 for AmSP-WT, are in the same conformational positions thus allowing sucrose 169 phosphorylase activity (Figure 1B, in cyan)[21]. In BaSP-WT, Y132 is located at the entrance of the 170 171 active site and contributes to sucrose specificity thanks to hydrophobic interactions with Y196 and F206. In AmSP-WT, the aromatic structure is conserved with the replacement of the tyrosinyl 172 moiety by a phenylalanyl residue in position 138 (Figure 1B, in orange). 173

174 3.2. Determination of the apparent kinetic parameters

Interestingly, the P140D and Q353F mutations did not alter the enzyme stability, as evidenced by 175 unchanged melting temperature (T_m) of 43°C (Figure S2, Table S2). The apparent kinetic 176 177 parameters for sucrose at 25°C with and without 20% DMSO were determined. For each condition, variants present a decrease of catalytic efficiency towards sucrose of one order of magnitude 178 179 compared to the wild-type (Table 1). A loss in specificity for sucrose was observed for AmSP-Q353F with an increasing of the K_m value from 1 mM for AmSP-WT to 5 mM for AmSP-Q353F. With 180 DMSO, AmSP-P140D displayed a higher specificity for sucrose with a K_m value similar to the wild-181 type, resulting in a catalytic efficiency increasing by almost 2-fold compared to the results 182 obtained without DMSO. For AmSP-Q353F, with 20% of DMSO, we observed no change for the 183 184 specificity for sucrose and the turnover number.

185 3.3. (+)-catechin transglucosylation studies

186 We assessed the ability of SPs to transfer a glucose moiety from sucrose to (+)-catechin at 25°C after 24h under agitation. Observed products were purified by preparative HPLC and analysed by 187 NMR. AmSP-P140D and AmSP-Q353F, catalyse efficiently the synthesis of two different 188 regioisomers of (+)-catechin glucoside: AmSP-P140D glucosylate mostly the hydroxyl groups in 189 position 4' (OH-4') while AmSP-Q353F glucosylate the OH-3' position (Figure S5, Table S4). We 190 monitored the synthesis of glucosylated (+)-catechin products during 24h by HPLC to determine 191 conversion yields and proportion of regioisomers (Figure 2, Table 2). Interestingly, the main 192 product formed with AmSP-P140D was CAT-4' with a relative proportion of 89% while the main 193 product formed with AmSP-Q353F was CAT-3' with a relative proportion of 92%. The 194 corresponding synthetic yields (percentage of (+)-catechin that was converted into these 195 glycosylated products) was 26% and 82% respectively. These results clearly indicate that these 196 197 two variants are highly regioselective with respect to their transglycosylation activities on (+)catechin. 198

199 3.4. Structural insights into the regioselectivity of AmSP-P140D and AmSP-Q353F

To further understand the observed regioselectivities, we performed molecular docking 200 simulations with several conformations of the glucosyl intermediate of AmSP-Q353F and AmSP-201 P140D. The preferred orientations of various (+)-catechin conformers in the acceptor site of the 202 glucosyl-enzyme intermediate were assessed using docking protocols implemented in Autodock 203 Vina. Docking poses were filtered for reactivity, by considering those with an oxygen of (+)-204 catechin within 3 Å of the C1 atom of the glucosyl moiety as productive. Docking results were 205 consistent with the observed experimental regioselectivity. For AmSP-Q353F, productive poses for 206 glucosylation of (+)-catechin in OH3' position is overwhelmingly favoured energetically when 207

compared to the other hydroxyl groups (OH-4', OH-5 and in position 7) of the flavonoid (Figure 208 S6). On the other hand, for AmSP-P140D, the most favoured productive poses for glucosylation of 209 (+)-catechin is on OH-4' position (Figures S6). Comparison of the binding energies of the 210 productive poses of (+)-catechin towards OH-3' and OH-4' glucosylation provided in Figure 3A 211 212 clearly explains the observed regioselectivity. For each enzyme, structural analysis of the best productive poses showed a distance of 3.0 Å between the C1 atom of the glucosyl moiety and the 213 OH-3' or OH-4' of (+)-catechin, and a distance with D203 residue of 4.0 Å (Figure 3B and 3C). For 214 215 AmSP-P140D, (+)-catechin is stabilized in the active +1 site by a network of 4 hydrogen bonds and numerous hydrophobic contacts (Figure 4). 216

217 **4. Discussion**

In glycochemistry, the fine control of the regioselectivity is the Holy Grail in enzymatic reactions 218 219 catalysed by glycosyl hydrolase (GHs) such as sucrose phosphorylase. A disadvantage of GHs is 220 their moderate regioselectivity, meaning that a mixture of products is often formed when the 221 acceptor contains more than one hydroxyl group. Previously, for (+)-catechin which consists of five phenolic hydroxyl groups, we generated with BaSP-Q345F and BaSP-P134D/Q345F a mixture of 222 223 glucosylated regioisomers: CAT-3', CAT-5 and CAT-3',5 with a ratio of 51:25:24 for BaSP-Q345F and 82:9:9 for BaSP- P134D/Q345F. Another drawback is the relatively low product yields. With the 224 same variants, we obtained a synthetic yield of 34%/15%/9% and 40%/5%/4%, respectively. In the 225 active site of the SPs, the -1 site is rigid to allow a high selectivity on glucose while the +1 site is 226 more flexible and can accept several types of acceptors or leaving groups. The +1 site of AmSP-WT, 227 is mainly shaped by two highly labile loops, loop A (³⁴⁴AAASNLDLYQ³⁵³) and loop B (¹³⁸FRPRP¹⁴²), 228 229 which undergo crucial conformational changes throughout the catalytic cycle suited for binding 230 either fructose or phosphate. Crystal structure of AmSP-WT shows a wide access channel capable of accommodating naturally large polyphenolic acceptors. By site-directed mutagenesis, we 231

substituted the residue Q353 in loop B into F353 and/or the residue P140 into D140 in Loop A. We 232 obtained three variants: AmSP-P140D, AmSP-Q353F and AmSP-P140D/Q353F. The double variant 233 showed no improvement for the synthesis of CAT-3' with the obtainment of a mixture of products 234 235 at 25°C (data not shown). By engineering the residue 353 of the active site, we enhanced the 236 enzyme regioselectivity from a mixture of products to OH-3' position almost exclusively. Docking studies confirmed that the most favoured pose for (+)-catechin in the catalytic +1 site of AmSP-237 Q353F lead to the formation of CAT-3'. As seen with BaSP-Q345F, we hypothesized that the 238 239 introduction of F353 as a potential partner for π - π stacking leads to rearrangements in loop A with a shift of Y352 which can stabilise (+)-catechin in the active site by hydrophobic interactions. BaSP-240 Q345F, BaSP-P134D/Q345F and AmSP-Q353F preferentially glucosylate the OH-3' position of 241 flavonoids while ignoring the OH-4' position. This was confirmed by docking simulations which 242 highlighted that the most favoured pose for (+)-catechin in the catalytic site of AmSP-Q353F 243 244 (Figure 3 and Table 2) would lead to the regioselective formation of CAT-3'. Surprisingly, while 245 BaSP-P140D was not active, AmSP-P140D leads to the regioselective formation of CAT-4' (Figure 3 246 and Table 2). Thus, switching Q353F mutation to P140D had shift completely the regioselectivity of AmSP-WT from CAT-3' to CAT-4'. An explanation enlightened by molecular modelling is the steric 247 hindrance caused by F138/Y207/F217 residues between AmSP-P140D and the polyphenol rings 248 (Figures 3B and S7). Indeed, we observed that the conformation of the acceptor site drastically 249 250 changed and seems to allow only an almost linear orientation of all three (+)-catechin rings. 251 Contrarily to AmSP-Q353F, those very strong constraints lead to the regioselective glucosylation of 252 the OH-4' position of the flavonoid with a high proportion (89%).

253 **5.** Conclusion

In this study, we provided the first report of the use of variants of sucrose phosphorylase from Alteromonas mediterranea for the regioselective transglucosylation of (+)-catechin and the

synthesis of a novel compound fully characterized, (+)-catechin-4'-O- α -D-glucopyranoside (CAT-4'). 256 AmSP-Q353F and AmSP-P140D are able to synthesize regioselectively compound CAT-3' and CAT-257 4', with a proportion of 92% and 89%, respectively. With AmSP-P140D, we succeed to switch the 258 regioselectivity from OH-3' to OH-4'-glucosylated (+)-catechin. Mutation P140D changes drastically 259 the conformation of the acceptor site and seems to allow an almost linear alignment of the 260 261 glucose moiety and of all three (+)-catechin rings allowing selectively the glucosylation of the 262 position OH-4' of this flavonoid. Overall, the results described herein suggest that AmSP-Q353F and AmSP-P140D are suitable for the enzymatic regioselective synthesis of polyphenolic glucosides 263 at high yields and could facilitate the synthesis of *de novo* products in OH-4' position using other 264 phenolic phytochemicals such as quercetin or kaempferol. 265

266 Supporting information

For details about melting curves, kinetic parameters analysis, HPLC/MS, NMR spectra, etc., please
see provided Electronic Supplementary Information.

269 Author Contributions

MG and MD wrote the original draft. MG, MD, CM, BO and JH developed the methodology. MG, MD, CS and EL performed the experimental investigation and the following analysis. DT and FF obtained the crystallographic structure of *AmSP-WT*. JH and BO performed the molecular and docking simulations and the following analysis. CM obtained the funding, designed and directed the project. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

275 Conflicts of interest

276 There are no conflicts to declare.

277 Acknowledgments

278 MG post-doctoral fellowship was supported by the "Region Pays de la Loire" and "Université 279 Bretagne Loire" within the project "FunRégiOx", and MD thesis by "Nantes Université". We thank 280 the CEISAM NMR platform for the NMR experiments.

281 References

- [1] A. Beygmoradi, A. Homaei, R. Hemmati, Marine chitinolytic enzymes, a biotechnological
 treasure hidden in the ocean?, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 102 (2018) 9937–9948.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9385-7</u>
- [2] V.S. Bernan, M. Greenstein, M.W. Maiese, Marine microorganisms as a source of new
 natural products, Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 43 (1997) 57-90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-</u>
 287 <u>2164(08)70223-5</u>.
- [3] H. Harmsen, D. Prieur, C. Jeanthon, Distribution of microorganisms in deep-sea
 hydrothermal vent chimneys investigated by whole-cell hybridization and enrichment
 culture of thermophilic subpopulations, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63 (1997) 2876-2883.
- 291 https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.63.7.2876-2883.1997.
- [4] T.H. Cheng, N. Ismail, N. Kamaruding, Industrial enzymes-producing marine bacteria from
 marine resources, Biotechnology Reports. 27 (2020) e00482.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2020.e00482</u>.
- 295 [5] M. Kraus, J. Görl, M. Timm, Synthesis of the rare disaccharide nigerose by structure-based 296 design of a phosphorylase mutant with altered regioselectivity, ChemComm 52 (2016)
- 297 4625-4627. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cc00934d</u>.

- [6] J. Franceus et al., "Rational design of an improved transglucosylase for production of the
 rare sugar nigerose, ChemComm. 55 (2019) 4531–4533.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/c9cc01587f.
- 301 [7] M. Kraus, C. Grimm, J. Seibel, Redesign of the Active Site of Sucrose Phosphorylase through
- a Clash-Induced Cascade of Loop Shifts, ChemBioChem 17 (2016) 33-36.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201500514.
- [8] M. Kraus, C. Grimm, J. Seibel, "Switching enzyme specificity from phosphate to resveratrol
 glucosylation, ChemComm. 53 (2017) 12181–12184. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cc05993k</u>.
- [9] D. Aerts, T. F. Verhaeghe, B. I. Roman, Transglucosylation potential of six sucrose
 phosphorylases toward different classes of acceptors, Carbohydr. Res. 346 (2011) 1860 1867. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2011.06.024</u>.
- M. Demonceaux, M. Goux, M., J. Hendrickx, Regioselective glucosylation of (+) catechin using a new variant of the sucrose phosphorylase from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis*, Org. Biomol. Chem. 11 (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ob00191a</u>.
- [11] D. Sprogoe, L.A.M. van den Broek, O. Mirza, Crystal structure of sucrose
 phosphorylase from Bifidobacterium adolescentis, Biochemistry 43 (2004) 1156-1162.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bi0356395</u>.
- 315[12]M. E. Dirks-Hofmeister, T. Verhaeghe, K. De Winter, Creating Space for Large316Acceptors: Rational Biocatalyst Design for Resveratrol Glycosylation in an Aqueous System,
- 317 Angew. Chemie. 54 (2015) 9289–9292. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201503605</u>.
- S. Kitao, T. Ariga, T. Matsudo, The Syntheses of Catechin-glucosides by
 Transglycosylation with Leuconostoc Mesenteroides Sucrose Phosphorylase, Biosci.
 Biotechnol. Biochem. 57 (1993). 2010–2015, 1993, <u>https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.57.2010</u>.
- 321 [14] K. De Winter, G. Dewitte, M.E. Dirks-Hofmeister, Enzymatic Glycosylation of 322 Phenolic Antioxidants: Phosphorylase-Mediated Synthesis and Characterization, Journal of

- 323
 Agricultural
 and
 Food
 Chemistry
 2015
 63
 (46)
 10131-10139.

 324
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04380
- [15] K. De Winter, A. Cerdobbel, W. Operational stability of immobilized sucrose 325 α-glucose-1-phosphate 326 phosphorylase: continuous production of at elevated 327 temperatures. Process Biochem. 46 (2011) 1074-1078. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2011.08.002. 328
- 329[16]J. Franceus, T. Desmet, Sucrose Phosphorylase and Related Enzymes in Glycoside330Hydrolase Family 13: Discovery, Application and Engineering, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (2020)
- 331 2526. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21072526</u>
- A. López-López, S.G. Bartual, L. Stal, Genetic analysis of housekeeping genes reveals
 a deep-sea ecotype of Alteromonas macleodii in the Mediterranean Sea, Environ Microbiol.
 7 (2005)649,659, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462.2920.2005.00722.x
- 334
 7 (2005)649-659. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00733.x
- E.P. Ivanova, M. López-Pérez, M. Zabalos, Ecophysiological diversity of a novel
 member of the genus Alteromonas, and description of Alteromonas mediterranea sp. nov.
 Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 107 (2015) 119-132. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-014-</u>
- 338 <u>0309-y</u>
- 339[19]M. López-Pérez, A. Gonzaga, A.B. Martin-Cuadrado, Genomes of surface isolates of340Alteromonas macleodii: the life of a widespread marine opportunistic copiotroph. Sci Rep
- 341 2, 696 (2012). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00696</u>
- O. Mirza, L.K. Skov, D. Sprogoe, Structural Rearrangements of Sucrose
 Phosphorylase from Bifidobacterium adolescentis during Sucrose Conversion, J. Biol. Chem.
 281 (2006) 35576-35584. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M605611200</u>.
- T. Verhaeghe, M. Diricks, D., Mapping the acceptor site of sucrose phosphorylase
 from Bifidobacterium adolescentis by alanine scanning, J. Mol. Catal 96 (2013) 81-88.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcatb.2013.06.014

- C. A. Rohl, C.E. Strauss, K.M. Misura, Protein structure prediction using Rosetta,
 Methods Enzymol. 383 (2004) 66–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(04)83004-0</u>
- R. Schumacker and S. Tomek, Understanding Statistics Using R, Springer, 2013.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6227-9</u>
- R. A. Laskowski, M. B. Swindells, LigPlot+: multiple ligand-protein interaction
 diagrams for drug discovery. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 51 (2011) 2778-2786.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ci200227u</u>

356 Figures caption and legend

357

Figure 1: Crystallographic structures of BaSP-WT and AmSP-WT focused on residues involved in 358 (A) sucrose and (B) fructose binding. (A) In magenta: Loop A (in sticks for BaSP-WT: Y344/D342, in 359 360 sticks for AmSP-WT: Y352/D350); orange: Loop B (in sticks for BaSP-WT: R135, in sticks for AmSP-361 WT: R141); and blue: residues of the catalytic triad (in sticks for BaSP-WT: D192/E232/D290, in sticks for AmSP-WT: D203/E243/D301). (B) In magenta: Loop A (in sticks for BaSP-WT: 362 D342/L343/Y344/Q345, in sticks for AmSP-WT: D350/L351/Y352/Q353); orange: Loop B (in sticks 363 for BaSP-WT: Y132/R133/P134/R135, in sticks for AmSP-WT: F138/R139/P140/R141); Cyan: 364 residues involved in sucrose phosphorylase activity (in sticks for BaSP-WT: Y196/V233/H234, in 365 sticks for AmSP-WT: Y207/I244/H245). 366

367

Figure 2: Products profile of AmSP-Q353F and AmSP-P140D using (+)-catechin as acceptor. Proportion of each regioisomers was calculated from the area under the curves obtained by analytical HPLC (isocratic mode at 80% H_2O (v/v), 0.1% formic acid (v/v) and 20% MeOH (v/v), 0.1% formic acid (v/v)).

372

Figure 3: Structural rearrangement of AmSP active site and best productive poses of (+)-catechin towards OH-3' and OH-4' for AmSP-P140D (B) and AmSP-Q353F (C) glucosyl-enzyme. (A) Comparison of binding energy of productive poses of (+)-catechin towards OH-3' and OH-4' for AmSP-P140D and AmSP-Q353F glucosyl-enzyme ($\Delta G = -9.3$ kcal/mol for AmSP-Q353F and -9.2 kcal/mol for AmSP-P140D). In magenta: Loop A with in sticks Y352/D350/Q(F)353 residues; orange: Loop B with in sticks R141/F138 and D140 for AmSP-P140D; blue: residues of the catalytic triad with in sticks D203/D301/DGC243; and pink : (+)-catechin.

Figure 4. Analysis of the interaction between (+)-catechin and AmSP-P140D. Shown are hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts between the (+)-catechin substrate (denoted Kxn1) and the interacting residues including the glucosylated-aspartyl (Dgc203). The diagram was obtained using LigPlot Plus v.2.2 [24].

Table 1: Apparent kinetic parameters for sucrose hydrolysis by AmSP and its variants. Reactions
were conducted in MOPS 50 mM pH 8.0 at 25°C with or without 20% of DMSO. Values are based
on Michaelis-Menten fittings obtained with Microsoft Excel. Hanes-Woolf plots are included in the
electronic supplementary information (Figures S3 and S4).

| | 0% DMSO | | | 20% DMSO | | | |
|------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | К _м (mM) | k _{cat} (s⁻¹) | k _{cat} /K _M | <i>К_м</i> (mM) | k _{cat} (s⁻¹) | k _{cat} /K _M | |
| AmSP-WT | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 120 ± 34 | 156.6 ± 26.2 | 0.91 ± 0.1 | 109 ± 12 | 124.6 ± 7.6 | |
| AmSP-P140D | 1.8 ± 0.5 | 13 ± 2.0 | 7.34 ± 1.4 | 1.02 ± 0.4 | 19 ± 4.0 | 20.12 ± 7.1 | |
| AmSP-Q353F | 4.6 ± 1.2 | 2 ± 0.0 | 0.46 ± 0.1 | 4.23 ± 0.8 | 3 ± 0.0 | 0.79 ± 0.1 | |

| 392 | Table 2: Synthetic yields (A) and relative proportion (B) of (+)-catechin glycosylated products |
|-----|---|
| 393 | obtained with P140D and Q353F variants of AmSP. Synthetic yields are expressed as a percentage |
| 394 | of (+)-catechin that was converted into the corresponding glycosylated products (CAT-4': (+)- |
| 395 | catechin-4'-O- α -D-glucopyranoside; CAT-3': (+)-catechin-3'-O- α -D-glucopyranoside; CAT-5: (+)- |
| 396 | catechin-5-O- α -D-glucopyranoside; CAT3',5: (+)-catechin-3',5-O- α -D-diglucopyranoside). The |
| 397 | relative proportion of each product was calculated from the area under the curves obtained by |
| 398 | analytical HPLC at 24 h with the same conditions than for Figure S5. |

| | Synthetic yields | | | | Proportion of each product | | | luct |
|---------|------------------|--------|--------|----------|----------------------------|--------|--------|----------|
| Product | CAT-4' | CAT-3' | CAT-5 | CAT-3',5 | CAT-4' | CAT-3' | CAT-5 | CAT-3',5 |
| P140D | 26.36% | 3.21% | Traces | Traces | 89.08% | 10.92% | Traces | Traces |
| Q353F | 3.49% | 82.64% | 2.22% | 1.85% | 3.93% | 91.58% | 2.28% | 2.21% |

399

400

Electronic Supplementary Information

| 2 | Sucrose phosphorylase from Alteromonas mediterranea: structural |
|----|--|
| 3 | insight into the regioselective α -glucosylation of (+)-catechin |
| 4 | Marine Goux ^{a,c} , Marie Demonceaux ^{a,d} , Johann Hendrickx ^{a,e} , Claude Solleux ^a , Emilie Lormeau ^a , |
| 5 | Folmer Fredslund ^b , David Tezé ^b , Bernard Offmann ^{a,f,h} and Corinne André-Miral ^{a,g,h} |
| 6 | |
| 7 | ^a Nantes Université, CNRS, US2B, UMR 6286, F-44000, Nantes, France |
| 8 | ^b DTU Biosustain, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark |
| 9 | °ORCID number: 0000-0002-8350-4102, e-mail : marine.goux-huet@univ-nantes.fr |
| 10 | ^d ORCID number: 0000-0002-6090-4007, e-mail : marie.demonceaux@univ-nantes.fr |
| 11 | °ORCID number: 0000-0002-3988-2747, e-mail : johann.hendrickx@univ-nantes.fr |
| 12 | ^f ORCID number: 0000-0002-6845-5883, e-mail : bernard.offmann@univ-nantes.fr |
| 13 | eORCID number: 0000-0002-3378-2331, e-mail : corinne.miral@univ-nantes.fr |
| 14 | ^h Corresponding authors: Corinne André-Miral and Bernard Offmann |
| 15 | |

Table of Contents

| 16 | Sucrose phosphorylase from Alteromonas mediterranea: structural insight into the regioselective |
|----|---|
| 17 | α-glucosylation of (+)-catechin1 |
| 18 | Characteristics of AmSP and its variants3 |
| 19 | Sequence of AmSP-WT including His-tag on C-term3 |
| 20 | Structural homology between BaSP and AmSP4 |
| 21 | Determination of the apparent kinetic parameters8 |
| 22 | (+)-catechin transglycosylation studies10 |
| 23 | Synthesis yields |
| 24 | ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR Spectral Data of CAT-4' in DMSO12 |

26 Characteristics of AmSP and its variants

27 Sequence of AmSP-WT including His-tag on C-term

| 28 | 1 | MGSIRNGVQL | ITYADRLGDG | NIESLTNLLD | GPLKGLFKGV | HILPFYYPYD | GEDAGFDPID |
|----|-----|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 29 | 61 | HTTVDERLGD | WNNIKKLGES | VDIMADLIVN | HMSGQSEAFT | DVLKKGRESE | YWPLFLTKED |
| 30 | 121 | VFSGNDQAEI | DEQIAKVFRP | RPTPFFSDYE | VGIETDSTET | VPFWTTFTSN | QIDIDVESEL |
| 31 | 181 | GKEYLSSILQ | SFTESNVDLI | RLDAAGYAIK | RAGSNCFMLE | ETFEFIEALS | KRARTMGMQC |
| 32 | 241 | LVEIHSHYQT | QIDIAARCDS | VYDFALPPLV | LHTLFTKDAS | ALAHWLSISP | RNCFTVLDTH |
| 33 | 301 | DGIGIVDVGA | SGDKPGLISA | DAINALVEQI | HVNSNGESKK | ATGAAANNVD | LYQVNCTYYD |
| 34 | 361 | ALGKDDFAYL | VARAIQFFSP | GIPQVYYGGL | LAAHNDMELL | ANTNVGRDIN | RPYLTTAMVE |
| 35 | 421 | DAIQKPVVKG | LMQLITLRNE | NKAFGGAFDV | TYTDNTLVLS | WSNDGDAASL | TVDFAAMDAT |
| 36 | 481 | INTVSNGEES | TLSIGALLAH | ННННН | | | |

37 Structural homology between BaSP and AmSP



Figure S1: Structural homology between BaSP-WT and AmSP-WT. (A) Comparison of closed
(purple) and opened (cyan) conformations of BaSP and structure of AmSP WT (green). The
functional loops are featured in magenta for loop A involved in sucrose binding and in orange
for loop B involved with polyphenol binding. (B) Sequence comparison for loop A between BaSP
and AmSP. (C) Sequence comparison for loop B between BaSP and AmSP.
*: these residues are involved in the binding of phosphate.

Table S1: Conserved residues and potential substrate interaction of AmSP. Potential substrate interaction and function of conserved residues were determined using structural homology between crystal structures of apoenzyme AmSP-WT (PDB: 7ZNP), apoenzyme BaSP-WT (1R7A) and open conformation BaSP-WT (2GDV.B). Residue numbering of AmSP derived from crystal structure 7ZNP. Residue numbering of BaSP came from crystal structures 1R7A and 2GDV.B. Numbered OHgroups and C-atoms address to sucrose (apostrophe for fructosyl moiety), unless stated otherwise. *: residues from the -1 subsite, b: residues from the Loop A/+1 subsite, c: residues from the Loop

52 B/+1 subsite. Approx.: Approximately the same position.

| AmSP | BaSP | Conserved Conformation 1R7A/2GDV.B | Potential substrate interaction/remarks | Potential function |
|----------------------------|--------|--|---|---|
| Asp203 ^a | Asp192 | Yes | Hydrogen bond with OH6 | catalytic nucleophile |
| Glu243 ª | Glu232 | Yes | Hydrogen bond with OH1 and OH1' | general acid/base catalyst |
| Asp301 ^a | Asp290 | Yes | Hydrogen bond with OH2 | transition state stabiliser |
| Phe56 ª | Phe53 | Yes | hydrophobic/ π interaction with C3-C4-C5; Cation- π interaction with oxocarbenium ion-like transition state | hydrophobic platform |
| Phe167 ^a | Phe156 | Yes | hydrophobic/ π interaction with C6 and C1' | hydrophobic platform |
| His91ª | His88 | Approx. | Hydrogen bond with OH6 | binding of glycosyl moiety |
| Arg201 ^a | Arg190 | Yes | Hydrogen bond with OH2 | binding of glycosyl moiety |
| His300 ª | His289 | Yes | Hydrogen bond with OH2 and OH3 | binding of glycosyl moiety |
| Asp53 ^a | Asp50 | Yes | Hydrogen bond with OH4 | binding of glycosyl moiety |
| Arg407 ^a | Arg399 | Yes | Hydrogen bond with OH3 and OH4 | binding of glycosyl moiety |
| Gln171 | Gln160 | Approx. | Hydrogen bond with OH6 | binding of glycosyl moiety |
| Ala204 | Ala193 | Yes | hydrophobic interaction with C6 and C1' | binding of glycosyl/fructosyl moiety |
| Leu351 ^b | Leu341 | No | hydrophobic interaction with C6 and C6' | binding of glycosyl/fructosyl moiety |
| Tyr207 | Tyr196 | Approx. | hydrophobic/ π interaction with C1' | involved in fructose binding |
| Asp350 ^b | Asp342 | No/Approx. | Hydrogen bond with OH4' | involved in fructose binding |
| Gln353 ^b | Gln345 | Yes | Hydrogen bond with O3' and O6' | involved in fructose binding |
| Phe138 ° | Tyr132 | Approx. | at the entrance of active site; hydrophobic surroundings | Specificity for fructose |
| Pro140° | Pro134 | Approx. | in vicinity of C4-OH of fructose | involved in the binding of the fructose- bound phosphate group |
| Tyr207 | Tyr196 | Approx. | CE2-atom close to C1 of fructose | Specificity for fructose/phosphate |
| His245 | His234 | Yes | in vicinity of C3 and C3-OH of fructose | Specificity for fructose/phosphate |
| Arg141 ^c | Arg135 | No/Approx. | Hydrogen bond with phosphate O; Specificity for fructose/phosphate | involved in phosphate binding |
| Leu351 ^b | Leu343 | No/Yes | in between important residues Asp342 and Tyr344 | Specificity for phosphate |
| Tyr352 ^b | Tyr344 | No/Yes | Hydrogen bond with phosphate O | involved in phosphate binding |
| lle244 | Val233 | Yes | next to the acid/base catalyst; side chain turned away from active site | unknown |



Figure S2: Melting curves of AmSP and its variants in MOPS-NaOH 50 mM pH 8.0 with DMSO from 0% to 40%. (A) AmSP-WT, (B) AmSP-P140D, (C) AmSP-Q353F, (D) AmSP-P140D/Q353F

54 Table S2: Melting temperature of AmSP and its variants. Values, given in °C, were obtained by

| | WT | P140D | Q353F | P140D/Q353F |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| H₂O | 42.0 ± 0.0 | 42.8 ± 0.3 | 42.0 ± 0.9 | 42.2 ± 0.3 |
| NPI-5 | 42.7 ± 0.3 | 42.5 ± 0.0 | 42.2 ± 0.3 | 41.5 ± 0.0 |
| NPI-250 | 38.7 ± 0.3 | 38.2 ± 0.3 | 38.3 ± 0.3 | 37.3 ± 0.3 |
| MOPS pH 7.0 | 44.8 ± 0.6 | 45.2 ± 0.3 | 44.0 ± 0.0 | 43.7 ± 0.3 |
| pH 7.0 10%D | 42.5 ± 0.0 | 42.2 ± 0.3 | 41.8 ± 0.3 | 42.3 ± 0.3 |
| pH 7.0 20%D | 39.5 ± 0.0 | 39.0 ± 0.0 | 39.2 ± 0.3 | 39.5 ± 0.0 |
| pH 7.0 30%D | 36.3 ± 1.0 | 36.3 ± 0.3 | 36.5 ± 0.5 | 36.7 ± 0.3 |
| pH 7.0 40%D | 31.3 ± 0.3 | 32.5 ± 0.0 | 32.7 ± 0.3 | 33.2 ± 0.3 |
| MOPS pH 8.0 | 42.0 ± 0.0 | 43.0 ± 0.0 | 41.5 ± 0.0 | 41.2 ± 0.3 |
| pH 8.0 10%D | 41.3 ± 0.3 | 41.3 ± 0.4 | 41.5 ± 0.5 | 40.8 ± 1.0 |
| pH 8.0 20%D | 40.5 ± 0.0 | 39.3 ± 0.6 | 39.8 ± 0.3 | 39.5 ± 0.5 |
| pH 8.0 30%D | 37.5 ± 0.5 | 39.0 ± 0.7 | 37.0 ± 0.0 | 38.0 ± 0.5 |
| pH 8.0 40%D | 32.7 ± 0.3 | 39.5 ± 1.4 | 33.7 ± 0.3 | 33.7 ± 0.3 |
| Citrate | 45.5 ± 0.0 | 43.8 ± 0.4 | 43.5 ± 0.0 | 43.3 ± 0.3 |
| HEPES | 43.5 ± 0.3 | 44.0 ± 0.0 | 42.2 ± 0.6 | 42.2 ± 0.6 |
| HEPES NaCI DTT | 44.8 ± 0.6 | 45.0 ± 0.0 | 43.7 ± 0.8 | 44.3 ± 0.3 |

55 calculating the first derivative -(dRFU)/dT of the melting curves.

56 Determination of the apparent kinetic parameters



58 Figure S3: Kinetics and Hanes-Woolf plots of sucrose hydrolysis with DMSO 20% (v/v) by (A)

⁵⁹ AmdSP-WT, (B) AmdSP-P140D, (C) AmdSP-Q353F. Data were obtained by glucose titration (10 μM

⁶⁰ AmdSP-Q353F, 0.5-240 mM saccharose in MOPS-NaOH 50 mM pH 8.0 at 25°C).



62 Figure S4: Kinetics and Hanes-Woolf plots of sucrose hydrolysis by (A) AmSP-WT, (B) AmSP-

63 P140D, (C) AmSP-Q353F. Data were obtained by glucose titration (10 μM AmSP - Q353F, 0.5 -

64 240 mM saccharose in MOPS-NaOH 50 mM pH 8.0 at 25°C)

66 (+)-catechin transglycosylation studies

67 Synthesis yields



Figure S5: HPLC chromatogram of a 24 h reaction medium of (+)-catechin glucosylation by AmSP-WT variants. Peak 1: CAT-5, peak 2: CAT-3',5, peak 3: CAT-4', peak 4: (+)-catechin, peak 5: CAT-3'. Unassigned peaks correspond to impurities and degradation of (+)-catechin. (10 μ M enzyme, 10 mM (+)-catechin, 80 mM sucrose, 20% DMSO (v/v) in MOPS 50 mM pH 8.0 at 25°C for 24 h). HPLC conditions: isocratic mode at 80% H₂O (v/v), 0.1% formic acid (v/v) and 20% MeOH (v/v), 0.1% formic acid (v/v).





79

78

- 80 MS (ESI positive):
- 81 Ion Formula: $C_{21}H_{23}O_{11}$
- 82 m/z calculated: 451.1239
- 83 m/z experimental: 451.1240
- 84 error [ppm]: -0.2

85

¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆,
$$\delta$$
) : 7.14 (d, ³J₅₋₆ = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H₅), 6.80 (d, ³J₂₋₆ = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H₂), 6.72 (dd, ³J₆₋₂
= 2.0 Hz, ³J₆₋₅ = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H₆), 5.91 (d, ³J₆₋₈ = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H₆), 5.71 (d, ³J₈₋₆ = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H₈), 5.17 (d,
³J_{1*-2*} = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H_{1*}), 4.55 (d, ³J₂₋₃ = 7.4 Hz, 1H, H₂), 3.85 (td, ³J₃₋₂ = 7.4 Hz, ³J_{3-4a} = 5.3 Hz, ³J_{3-4b} =
8.4 Hz, 1H, H₃), 3.71-3.65 (m, ³J_{3*-2*} = 9.7 Hz, , 1H, H_{3*}), 3.65-3.62 (m, 1H, H_{6a*}), 3.55-3.49 (m, 1H,
H_{5*}), 3.55-3.49 (m, 1H, H_{6b*}), 3.33 (dd, ³J_{2*-1*} = 3.6 Hz, ³J_{2*-3*} = 9.7 Hz, 1H, H_{2*}), 3.21-3.17 (m, 1H, H_{4*}),
2.65 (dd, ³J_{4a-3} = 5.3 Hz, ²J_{4a-4b} = 16.0 Hz, 1H, H_{4a}), 2.36 (dd, ³J_{4b-3} = 8.4 Hz, ²J_{4b-4a} = 16.3 Hz, 1H, H_{4b}).

93 ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ) : 156.5 (C₇), 156.2 (C₅), 155.2 (C₁₀), 147.1 (C₄), 144.7 (C₃), 134.7 (C₁), 118.2

94 (C₆), 117.3 (C₅), 114.8 (C₂), 100.4 (C_{1*}), 99.0 (C₉), 95.2 (C₆), 93.8 (C₈), 80.7 (C₂), 73.8 (C_{5*}), 73.1 (C_{3*}),

95 72.0 (C_{2*}), 70.0 (C_{4*}), 66.3 (C_3), 60.7 (C_{6*}), 27.8 (C_4).

⁹⁶ Table S4: Comparaison of ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectrum data of CAT-4' and (+)-catechin in DMSO.

97 Multiplicity abbreviations for ¹H NMR: d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, td = pseudo triplet of

doublets, m = multiplet. For characterization of CAT-3', CAT-5 and CAT-3', 5 please see [10].

| POSITION | CATECHIN ∂1H; J (HZ) | CAT-4' ∂1H; J (HZ) | CAT-4' ∂13C |
|----------|--|--|----------------|
| 1 | Х | Х | Х |
| 2 | 4.48 (d 7.4) | 4.55 (d 7.4) | 80.67 |
| 3 | 3.82 (td 8.1, 7.4, 5.2) | 3.85 (td 8.4, 7.4, 5.33) | 66.26 |
| 4 | 2.67 (dd 16.1, 5.2) 2.35 (dd 16.0, 8.1) | 2.65 (dd 16.0, 5.3) 2.36 (dd 16.3, 8.4) | 27.84 |
| 5 | Х | Х | 156.21 |
| 6 | 5.89 (d 2.2) | 5.91 (d 2.2) | 95.22 |
| 7 | Х | Х | 156.51 |
| 8 | 5.69 (d 2.2) | 5.71 (d 2.2) | 93.78 |
| 9 | Х | Х | 98.95 |
| 10 | Х | Х | 155.17 |
| 1' | X | Х | 134.68 |
| 2' | 6.73 (d 1.9) | 6.80 (d 2.0) | 114.79 |
| 3' | Х | Х | 144.71 |
| 4' | Х | Х | 147.06 |
| 5' | 6.69 (d 8.1) | 7.14 (d 8.3) | 117.26 |
| 6' | 6.60 (dd 8.1, 1.9) | 6.72 (dd 8.3, 2.0) | 118.16 |
| 1* | Х | 5.17 (d 3.6) | 100.40 |
| 2* | Х | 3.33 (dd 9.7, 3.6) | 72.02 |
| 3* | Х | 3.71-3.65 (m) | 73.06 |
| 4* | Х | 3.21-3.17 (m) | 69.96 |
| 5* | X | 3.59-3.55 (m) | 73.75 |
| 6* | X | 3.65-3.62 (m) 3.55-3.49 (m) | 60.73 |



Figure S6: Statistical analysis of the binding energies of productive poses for glucosylation of (+) catechin in OH-3', OH-4', OH-5 and OH-7 positions with Q353F and P140D. Molecular docking poses were filtered by considering those with an oxygen of (+)-catechin within 3 Å of the C1 atom of the glucosyl moiety as productive (see sections 2.4, 2.5 and 3.4 in main text for additional details).



Figure S7: Different views of the position of the best productive poses of (+)-catechin in the active site of AmSP-P140D and AmSP-Q353F. In magenta: Loop A with in sticks Y352/F350/Q(F)353 residues; orange: Loop B with in sticks R141/F138 and D140 for AmSP-P140D; blue: residues of the catalytic triad with in sticks D203/D301/DGC243; green: aromatic residues involved in steric clash with in sticks Y207 and F217; and pink: (+)-catechin.

113

107